

MEMORANDUM

EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD



TO: Commissioners Mital, Simpson, Helgeson, Manning and Brown

FROM: Mel Damewood, Engineering Manager; Mike McCann, Generation Manager; Lance

Robertson, Public Affairs Manager; Steve Newcomb, Environmental Services Manager;

Brad Taylor, Water Operations Manager; Karl Morgenstern, Environmental Services

Supervisor and Drinking Water Source Protection Coordinator; Mark Zinniker, Generation

Engineering Supervisor

DATE: Aug. 21, 2015

SUBJECT: McKenzie River issues, projects and initiatives

OBJECTIVE: Information in advance of Sept. 1 Board meeting in Leaburg

Issue

Each year, the Board of Commissioners holds a meeting in the McKenzie River area that is served by the utility, to inform customers about EWEB issues of importance to them, and to provide an opportunity for upriver customers to engage the Board with questions or comments. The upriver meeting this year is scheduled for Tuesday, Sept. 1, from 6:00 p.m. to approximately 9:00 p.m., at the McKenzie Fire & Rescue Training Center, 42870 McKenzie Highway, Leaburg.

Background

This year's format follows a traditional agenda, with public input scheduled as one of the first items, followed by board action items and then general business items. Doors will open at 5:30 p.m., with an opportunity for commissioners and staff to meet informally with early arrivals from the community.

Management will conduct three presentations of interest to McKenzie River Valley customers:

- An overview of the current low-water river conditions and impacts to EWEB's water filtration plant and hydroelectric generation on the McKenzie River.
- An update on repairs to the Leaburg Dam roll gates.
- An overview of EWEB's development of a forest management plan for forestland property the utility owns near Leaburg.

This memo provides background materials in advance of those three presentations, as well as information and updates on other EWEB projects, initiatives and issues in the McKenzie River area. Due to the contributions of multiple EWEB employees with this memo, please direct questions in advance of the Sept. 1 meeting to General Manager Gray, who will then ask the appropriate staff person to respond.

Discussion

The following offers information about the three agenda items referenced above as well as other McKenzierelated issues. For the agenda items, staff will conduct a brief presentation and then open it up for questions from commissioners.

Leaburg Dam roll gate repair status

EWEB's recovery from the roll gate hoist system failures at Leaburg Dam is progressing well. Roll Gate No. 2 was released for fully automated operation in February 2015. To date, Leaburg operations staff report robust equipment quality and superior performance relative to the old hydraulic motor hoist systems. EWEB issued a "notice to proceed" for Knight Construction to begin work on replacing the failed Roll Gate No. 1 hoist system immediately after the Board approved a contract amendment in May 2015. As required by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regional dam safety engineer, the new system currently under construction essentially will be identical to the hoist installed for Roll Gate No. 2. The contractor has also purchased a third hoist for Roll Gate No. 3, which will be installed in 2016, also per the FERC's requirement.

The construction work this summer has been smooth and is currently progressing ahead of schedule. Lessons learned during the Roll Gate No. 2 construction work are paying off with respect to both construction activities and coordination with the affected public. The contractor's latest schedule shows full operation Roll Gate No. 1 in early November 2015. The contractor will return to replace the Roll Gate No. 3 hoist system in May 2016 (post flood season) and expects to complete the final phase of work in October 2016.

EWEB also continues to engage customers affected residents and entities (such as the U.S. Postal Service) regarding closure of the road across Leaburg Dam. In general, the road is closed weekdays during construction, from 8 a.m. to noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Public Affairs updates residents, via an email list and web site, of any changes to the schedule. Feedback from residents on the communications effort has been positive.

The costs incurred to date for the roll gate replacement work total \$2.9 million. The total project costs by the end of 2016 are anticipated to reach \$5.5 million. Cost projections remain consistent with the budget allocation for 2015 and CIP budget recommendation for 2016.

Leaburg Forest Management Plan

The McKenzie Watershed is comprised of 88% forested land, with a mixture of public and privately-owned lands. Forested watersheds, like the McKenzie, produce better water quality than any other land uses. However, some forest management activities can adversely impact downstream water quality, including aerial application of pesticides, road building and failures, and various timber harvest techniques. The Healthy Forests Clean Water Program, part of EWEB's source protection activities, aims to increase the economic viability of forestry in the area while reducing chemical use and other potential impacts to the watershed from forestry activities.

The EWEB Leaburg Forest is a patchwork of properties bordering the Leaburg canal (see Attachment) consisting of approximately 500 acres, of which over 350 acres are forested. The land was purchased in the 1920s during construction of the Leaburg hydroelectric project, largely to safeguard the canal from landslides. The forest was managed into the early 1970s by an EWEB contractor through selective harvests. Since the early 1970s, activity in the area has been limited to the removal of hazard trees.

EWEB is now interested in developing a management plan for the Leaburg Forest to guide future activities on the property and to demonstrate forestry practices that are protective of water quality and forest health. Previous research into using the Forest as a local carbon offset marketplace concluded that without recent management to establish baseline conditions, this was not viable. However, there is an opportunity to improve forest conditions for fish and wildlife habitat, to mitigate fire and nuisance risks, provide education and recreation benefits, and maintain the stable slope conditions above the canal that are necessary for safe power generation.

This past spring, EWEB released a Request for Proposals for professional forestry consulting services to develop and implement a forest management plan that meets multiple objectives, including sustainable timber harvest, clean water, soil stability, wildlife habitat and recreational opportunities. Trout Mountain Forestry,

out of Corvallis, was selected through this competitive process, and is in the beginning stages of creating the management plan as well as partnering with EWEB in a public outreach process. Project representatives will share more information with the EWEB Board about their early thinking around stakeholder engagement and potential forestry activities for 2016.

Current river conditions

As you are well aware, we are in the midst of a drought and experiencing historically low-flow conditions on local rivers. Current flows in the McKenzie are at or below the historic lows for this time of year.

In the McKenzie basin, impacts resulting from climate change have been predictively modeled (Gordon Grant, Christina Tague and Kathleen Farley, 2010) using climate change altered inputs. The model shows that although the McKenzie is driven by spring water, the relative impacts of shifts in snow patterns and precipitation will be more significant for the McKenzie than for other less spring water dominated systems. The winter of 2014 provides a glimpse of a future with minimal snow accumulation and near normal rainfall amounts in the High Cascades. Measured river flows for 2014-15 are attached as figures for Clear Lake (headwaters), Vida and Hayden Bridge gauges with historical reference flows for comparison. The highlighted flows for 2014-15 show almost no snow melt response in the spring and flows decreasing to base flow levels by early June. Environmental impacts of reduced flows include impacts to salmon attempting to migrate and spawn in the spring (usually helped by high flows) and significantly reduced flows in the summer season. Additionally, reduced flows increase vulnerability of the watershed to fire, increase the potential for algal blooms in reservoirs and increase water temperatures in the river. The impacts noted this year are the result of 1-year of reduced snowpack in the headwaters of the McKenzie. Models predict that accumulated impacts will be more significant, impacting the upper reaches of the McKenzie drainage over time and significantly reducing overall flows in the river. As an example of the magnitude of the 2014-2015 impact, mean average flows at Vida over the past decade for May have been close to 8,000 cfs (spring runoff) and this year flow at Vida averaged less than 2,000 cfs for May. The only other year of record with a similar flow condition is 1977 which was an isolated 1-year low flow season.

Low flows have not yet had any significant impact on EWEB's ability to draw water from the McKenzie for potable water consumption. Water treatment has exceeded 50 million gallons on only three days so far this summer. Given the extended periods of high heat and lack of precipitation, we normally would expect to see daily consumption in the 55 to 60 million gallons per day range. EWEB has conducted a public outreach campaign this year to encourage customers to be mindful of water use during these hot spells, which may have had an impact on customer consumption patterns.

For comparison purposes, the all-time high daily water consumption was about 72 million gallons on July 28, 1998. The water-treatment plant has the capacity to treat and deliver about 82 million gallons per day. At 50 million gallons per day, EWEB is drawing about 5 percent of the McKenzie's flow (at 1,800 cfs).

Hydroelectric generation on the McKenzie

Hydroelectric generation relies on water as the fuel to spin the turbine. Turbines, when designed and constructed, are based on a minimum, typical and maximum flow range. When there is too much water we can bypass excess flow either past the intake or over the spillway. It's not so easy when there is too little water, as there is this year. As the amount of water decreases, turbines operate less efficiently and they are subject to a variety of operational issues such as increased vibration and cavitation. These issues can damage the turbine, resulting in costly, and unplanned, repairs. As inflows drop and output drops, the risk of damaging the unit becomes greater than the benefit of the output. As a result, we generally shut down turbines when inflows reach a critical minimum level.

We did this in early July at the Trail Bridge plant at Carmen-Smith. It wasn't the first time we've had to turn off Trail Bridge due to low water conditions, but it was earlier in the year than anyone remembers. The next plant to go off line on the McKenzie will likely be the Walterville Plant. While it continues to operate at this time, the output from the plant is very low. It is also likely that the Leaburg Hydroelectric Project, which was reduced from two to one operating turbines in May, will go offline sometime in September.

Our final, and largest, McKenzie River generating plant is the Carmen powerhouse at Carmen-Smith. The Carmen plant normally only operates for part of the day during periods when wholesale power prices are higher. We will be able to continue operating the Carmen plant in a peaking mode, although the peaks (output) and the duration of operation will continue to decrease as inflow decreases. During the winter, we often run both Carmen units at the same time. For the remainder of the summer and into early fall, we will be limited to one unit operation at Carmen. The lake level at Trail Bridge will also remain fairly constant and all water exiting the project will leave via the spillway.

The bottom line is that by sometime in September, our only McKenzie River generation will be one Carmen turbine, and even that will be on a very limited schedule. This directly impacts our power supply and our financial condition. However, we aren't the only utility in this situation. Up and down the West Coast, utilities are struggling to deal with a lack of water for hydroelectric generation. Our best hope is for an early and wet winter.

Wildfire Emergency Preparedness for Generation Facilities

Hydroelectric generating facilities, by their nature, tend to be located in rural or remote forested areas, and, consequently, especially in the western United States, subject to the risks and concerns that come with the possibility of wildfire. This is especially true in times of drought. EWEB's facilities are no different, and we plan and prepare for wildfire on an annual basis. Our preparation includes prevention, protection, response and evacuation planning.

At Carmen-Smith, which is located almost entirely on national forest land, we meet with U.S. Forest Service (USFS) fire managers from the McKenzie Ranger District prior to fire season for inspection and preparation. We also work internally on a staff and family evacuation plan and a facility make safe plan. During fire season, we track and follow all USFS rules for work during fire season. In the event of a forest fire near Carmen-Smith, we work with and respond to the USFS and will shut down, make safe and evacuate as instructed.

At Leaburg and Walterville, we tend to work more directly with the Oregon Department of Forestry (ODF) and McKenzie Fire & Rescue. EWEB is on contact lists for both organizations and we meet with them regularly. We follow ODF fire prevention rules and notify McKenzie Fire when doing work during fire season. Similar to Carmen-Smith, we have shut down, make safe and evacuation procedures in place for the Leaburg and Walterville Projects.

Both McKenzie River facilities have pickup-mounted fire pump and hose equipment for fire protection at job sites. Work plan review and daily tailboard safety sessions review fire prevention and protection as well as evacuation.

Wildfire impacts to the McKenzie Watershed will depend on severity, size, and location of the fire and method of attack. Impacts could include increased ash/debris and sediment loads during initial storm events post fire. If large quantities of retardant are used this could lead to increased nutrients in the system and result in algal blooms in impacted reservoirs, ponds and slack water areas of the river. These impacts could potentially result in increased treatment costs and formation of disinfection byproducts.

McKenzie Valley Transmission and Substation Projects

Several transmission lines run through the McKenzie River Valley, including two owned and operated by Eugene Water & Electric Board. One EWEB transmission line runs between the Leaburg powerhouse and EWEB's Hayden Bridge water treatment plant. A second EWEB transmission line parallels the first line between Leaburg and the Walterville powerhouse, then crosses the river to the Thurston area substation. In addition to the EWEB transmission lines, Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) owns a line that connects the Carmen Smith and Cougar generation sites with the I-5 corridor (McKenzie Substation), which also runs within 1,200 feet of the Leaburg generation site.

Over the next two years, EWEB will be working to connect the Leaburg generation site to the existing BPA transmission lines mentioned above. This interconnection will eliminate the need for approximately 8 miles of two parallel EWEB transmission lines (16 circuit miles) running between Leaburg and Walterville, but will require the construction of a substation approximately 1,200 feet west of the Leaburg generation site where the BPA line passes. The new substation is identified as the Holden Creek Substation.

Besides the social and environmental benefits of removing 8 miles of parallel lines, as well as avoiding the high reconstruction cost of the transmission lines being removed, the Holden Creek substation will also increase reliability and move oil-filled equipment, including transformers, away from the Leaburg tailrace and the McKenzie River. Much of this equipment dates back to the 1940s. Presently, the Holden Creek Substation is being planned and designed for construction to begin in mid-2016. Depending on equipment procurement and BPA interconnection, the completion of the substation will range between late 2016 to mid-2017.

The removal of parallel transmission lines between Leaburg and Walterville, and the construction of the Holden Creek Substation, are the first of potentially several transmission changes in the McKenzie River Valley. Over the next 20 years, EWEB intends to evaluate and potentially remove additional transmission lines between Walterville and Thurston and Hayden Bridge, including several river crossings. The timing of these changes will depend on other infrastructure projects including upgrades and interconnections.

Recommendation

None; information purposes only.

Requested Board Action

None. As mentioned previously, due to the contributions of multiple EWEB employees with this memo, please direct questions in advance of the Sept. 1 meeting to General Manager Gray, who will then ask the appropriate staff person to respond.

MEMORANDUM



EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD

Relyonus.

TO: Commissioners Mital, Simpson, Helgeson, Manning and Brown

FROM: Steve Newcomb, Environmental Management Department Manager, and

Karl Morgenstern, Environmental Management Supervisor

DATE: August 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Watershed Protection Program Overview

OBJECTIVE: Information Only

Issue

This memo provides information about EWEB's current investments in drinking water source protection and how the program addresses the highest priority threats to the source of Eugene's drinking water. EWEB staff is currently in the process of developing a draft strategic plan for source water protection that assesses efforts to date and where to focus future energy during the next 10 years. It is anticipated that this plan will be completed by the end of 2015. Board members specifically requested more information about the Berggren Demonstration Farm, details of which are presented below.

Background

In October 2013, the EWEB Board was provided a comprehensive overview of EWEB's Drinking Water Source Protection program (see Board Memo dated 10/25/2013). The 2013 Board memo provides the background and context for this update.

Although EWEB depends on the McKenzie watershed to supply clean and safe drinking water for the City of Eugene, EWEB owns very little land in the watershed and does not have any jurisdictional authority over other landowners. In this context, EWEB has pursued a variety of partnerships with local, state, and federal agencies and other organizations in order to protect water quality and the overall health of the watershed.

EWEB invests nearly \$670,000 annually to implement and maintain a comprehensive drinking water source protection program. This funding is often matched with a similar amount of outside funding. Based on years of research and analysis, the highest priority threats to water quality in the McKenzie Watershed are:

- Hazardous material spills from transportation accidents and releases from commercial and industrial facilities.
- Pollution runoff from east Springfield's urban stormwater system, which has five outfalls immediately upstream of EWEB's Hayden Bridge intake.
- Cumulative impacts associated with development along the river (septic systems, chemical use, vegetation removal in riparian areas, and loss of agricultural and forest lands to future development).
- Agricultural impacts associated with pesticide and fertilizer use, livestock access to

- waterways, and vegetation removal in riparian areas.
- Climate change impacts that may result in larger and more frequent flooding events, longer dry seasons, more frequent and severe wildfires, and increasingly volatile weather patterns.

Over the last 14 years, EWEB has invested in risk-based watershed protection programs that: a) are collaborative and build lasting relationships with partners, stakeholders, landowners and communities; b) leverage outside funding and resources; c) are based on best available science; d) address multiple economic, social and environmental issues; e) are sustainable over the long term; and, f) are monitored for effectiveness. Following is a list of the major initiatives that have been implemented with various partners (please see http://eweb.org/sourceprotection for more information). This list addresses the highest priority threats in the watershed.

- McKenzie Watershed Emergency Response System (MWERS)
- Comprehensive Water Quality Monitoring
- Healthy Farms Clean Water
- Septic System Assistance
- Voluntary Incentives Program
- Urban Runoff Mitigation
- Research and Education
- Pollution Prevention Coalition/EcoBiz Certification
- Leaburg Demonstration Forest

Discussion

Investments in source water protection are designed to address the highest level risks to water quality in the basin. These investments are leveraged with other sources of funding to increase the effectiveness of the program. Table 1 summarizes some of the major initiatives EWEB has funded over the last few years and shows the amounts of outside funding that the program has attracted on an annual basis. For a detailed summary of program objectives, costs, future directions, threats addressed, data used, trends, partners, and climate change impacts associated with all of the source protection programs see Attachment A.

Table 1 Summary of Major Source Protection Initiatives 2014-2015

Program	Purpose	2014 Costs ¹	2014 Outside Funding ²	2015 Budget ¹	2015 Outside Funding ²
MWERS	Ensure a well-coordinated response to hazardous material spills that contains and stabilizes incidents within initial hours	\$43,000	\$6,000	\$47,000	\$10,000
Water Quality Monitoring	Maintain a comprehensive water quality monitoring program to assess the health of the McKenzie River over time and evaluate effectiveness of Source Protection efforts.	\$185,000	\$43,000	\$179,000	\$60,000
Healthy Farms Clean Water	Work closely with McKenzie farmers and ranchers to increase and protect riparian buffers and reduce chemical use	\$115,000	\$145,000	\$100,000	\$180,000
Septic System Assistance	Work with McKenzie homeowners and small communities to encourage proper maintenance, repair and replacement of septic systems	\$28,000	\$15,000	\$31,000	\$20,000
Voluntary Incentives Program	Engage landowners who own land in riparian areas and reward good stewardship that provides long-term protection of these critical areas while encouraging and assisting with restoration of degraded riparian forests	\$191,000	\$120,000	\$195,000	\$130,000
Urban Runoff Mitigation	Work with City of Springfield to treat and reduce pollution impacts from stormwater runoff	\$32,000	\$15,000	\$36,000	\$20,000
TOTAL		\$594,000	\$344,000	\$588,000	\$420,000

¹ – Cost estimates include labor and O & M and do not account for all source protection programs (see Attachment A for complete list of investments).

² – Leveraged fund estimates from outside sources includes only cash and not in-kind services (i.e., underestimates true value of leveraged resources).

Berggren Demonstration Farm

In May 2013, the EWEB Board was provided a comprehensive overview of the Berggren Demonstration Farm to answer specific questions from Commissioner Mital (see Board Memo dated 5/24/2013). The 2013 Board memo provides the background and context for this brief update.

Link to 2013 Board Memo

http://eweb.org/public/commissioners/meetings/2013/130604/Corr BerggrenFarmUpdate.pdf

The Berggren Demonstration Farm is administered by a unique partnership between the McKenzie River Trust (landowner), the McKenzie Watershed Council (riparian restoration), Cascade Pacific Resource Conservation and Development (farm operations and education) and EWEB. The purpose of the farm is to demonstrate how sustainable agriculture can be integrated with habitat conservation and restoration efforts along the McKenzie River and to provide educational opportunities to both students and farmers.

EWEB has supported the farm as an integral part of EWEB's Healthy Farms Clean Water (HFCW) program since 2011. The goals of the HFCW are to protect critical drinking water resources and to increase the economic viability of farming so that farmland stays as farmland within the McKenzie watershed. This is especially important as Oregon has an aging farmer population faced with difficult decisions around keeping their farmland or selling it to a developer for (often) more money. EWEB's Source Protection Program supports agricultural land as a land use in the floodplain that is more compatible with and protective of water quality than housing and other types of development.

In late 2014, the Board approved two years of funding for the demonstration farm at \$60,000/year. It is anticipated that in 2016 only half of these funds will be needed (i.e., \$30,000). These investments are leveraged with grants from USDA, Meyer Memorial Trust, Ford Family Foundation, and other funders. The farm plays a key role by: 1) providing K-12 outdoor education venues; 2) U of O internship and environmental leadership opportunities; 3) supports a regional young farmer apprentice program; 4) supports McKenzie farmers through cooperatives, workshops, local market development, and coordination of HFCW efforts with local farmers; and, 5) provides university research opportunities. The farm is operated to promote a variety of ecologically-appropriate farming practices such as planting riparian buffers, livestock and pasture management to reduce erosion, composting/manure management, stormwater runoff treatment, establishment of native pollinator habitat, organic farming practices, accessing new local food markets, water and energy conservation, and use of renewable energy on farms.

Starting in 2016 farm operations will stand on its own under lease agreement between the farmer and McKenzie River Trust. As indicated earlier, EWEB is scaling back its financial contribution to the demonstration farm as the partners enter a more in-depth planning process. EWEB and its partners are exploring outside funding opportunities to develop an Incubator Farm program that is administered at the demonstration farm and nearby land recently purchased by MRT (old Ezell property), which contains 11 acres of prime farmland. An Incubator Farm program gives beginning farmers access to land via a land leasing agreement. This creates a low-risk environment for farmers to test out operating a farm and identify local markets. Farmers would have access to classes and workshops on all aspects of farming, from business-planning to on-the-ground applications that

promote best management practices that protect water quality. After a specified number of years, farmers would 'graduate' from the program with practical experience of working on-the-ground and ideally be able to purchase land on which to farm. The Incubator Farm concept would address a large problem in the watershed, namely the lack of younger, beginning farmers and the difficulty they have getting started and finding land. This supports EWEB DWSP program by keeping farmland in production using watershed-friendly practices and supporting local markets.

Voluntary Incentives Program

In July 2014, the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB) invested \$150,000 (with \$124,000 in EWEB match) to pilot the Voluntary Incentives Program (VIP) concept with 15 landowners, including farmers, residents, and non-industrial private forest landowners. The VIP pilot project worked closely with partners and landowners to build and test the infrastructure necessary to protect and restore riparian forests by aligning investment from multiple sources and assessing use of existing and new markets that value water quality, riparian shade, erosion and flood mitigation, and critical habitat for Endangered Species Act (ESA) species. Program infrastructure includes landowner protection and restoration agreements, watershed fund design/fiscal management, watershed monitoring using LiDAR, finalization of the VIP program boundary, riparian health assessments, incentives from businesses, funding agreements, a watershed health web dashboard, and targeted outreach efforts. EWEB and partners are in process of completing the VIP Pilot Project final report (due 8/30/2015). The University of Oregon and a team of western water/utility experts associated with Carpe Diem West are evaluating the effectiveness of the pilot project to assist with program enhancement and redesign that will facilitate transferability to other watersheds.

In July 2015, OWEB invested an additional \$146,000 to assist with fixing issues identified in the pilot, developing methods to capture the return on investment, and providing funds to continue moving forward with the pilot project landowners who want to enter into VIP agreements for protection and/or restoration. EWEB has entered into funding MOUs with OWEB and the Metropolitan Wastewater Management Commission to align funding through the VIP for riparian forest restoration. EWEB submitted a 3-year \$750,000 USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Conservation Innovation Grant proposal to fund full program roll-out in the McKenzie Watershed and to expand the VIP into the Middle Fork and Coast Fork Willamette watersheds (expect to hear by 9/1/2015 if successful or not). In addition, EWEB partnered with the McKenzie Watershed Council, USFS and other partners to submit a 6-year \$4.5 million grant proposal under OWEB's Focused Investment Program that would fund watershed restoration and protection priorities identified in the McKenzie Watershed Action Plan, of which VIP is a key piece.

Recommendation

Staff recommends to continue funding source protection at current levels in the 2016 budget while staff complete development of a long-term strategic plan for the Drinking Water Source Protection program that builds on current efforts and expands into the Middle and Coast Fork Willamette Watersheds (plan to be completed in December 2015). This draft strategic plan can inform conversations with the Board on program direction, priorities, and costs that meet EWEB's objectives for long-term source water protection through alignment and leveraging of outside resources/funding for implementation.

Requested Board Action

No action is requested at this time.

Program	Purpose/Objectives	Main Program Components	Threats Program Addresses	Data Collected/Used	General Trends/Oberservations	Active Partners
McKenzie Watershed Emergency Response System (MWERS)	Ensure a well coordinated response to hazardous material spills that contains and stabilizes incidents within initial hours	training. Four fully equipped spill	High priority threats from Hwy 126 truck and vehicle accidents, urban spills to stormwater system and commercial/industrial facility releases.	ODOT vehicle accident data. OERS spill incident data. GIS Spill Equipment	Small spills occurring on periodic basis. No major spills since 1993. Events in 2015 included a car in the river this past year (2015) that took many weeks to remove, as well as a significant spill of diesel into Johnson Creek.	& Rescue, Eugene/Springfield Fire, Springfield Public Works, ODOT, USFS
Water Quality Monitoring Program	Maintain a comprehensive water quality monitoring program to assess the health of the McKenzie River over time and to provide a scientific basis for evaluating the effectiveness of Source Protection mitigation strategies that address known impacts and emerging threats to drinking water quality.	Watershed baseline monitoring for long-term trend analysis. Harmful algal bloom monitoring in reservoirs. Storm event monitoring to assess landuse impacts. Water Quality data compilation, analysis, reporting and presenting. Emerging contaminant tracking, assessment and monitoring. Managing and updating large water quality SQL database and website to disseminate data to public and partners.	Assessment of medium to high priority threats associated with urban runoff, agriculture, forestry, reservoir operations, septic systems and development for water quality impacts and longer term trends.	Baseline water quality sampling. Student QA Splits (urban stormwater and Camp Creek). Storm event pesticide data. Passive sampling data. Harmful algal bloom data. Storm event optical properties and dissolved organic carbon data.	Increasing trends over time for <i>E. coli</i> bacteria. Higher pesticide concentrations and frequency of detections associated with urban runoff and areas with increased development. Algal bloom trends appear to be occurring at higher levels and with new species (data back to 1990). Dissolved organic contaminants found at persistently low levels on regular basis at intake. Lack of health standards exist for majority of pesticides and organic chemicals detected.	U.S. Geological Survey, USFS, SUB, McKenzie Watershed Council, City of Springfield, Oregon Health Authority, Army COE
Healthy Farms Clean Water Program	Work closely with McKenzie farmers and ranchers to increase and protect riparian buffers and reduce chemical use while increasing the economic viability of farming to help keep farmland as preferred floodplain landuse.	Hazelnut orchards filbert worm mating disruption and nutrient management project. Blueberry growers nonchemical alternative solutions to addressing mummyberry. Berggren Demonstration Farm. Local Food Connection Annual Event. Support for organic certification of McKenzie farms. Support for removal of old ag chemicals from farms. Support for nutrient management on farms. Support for projects that reduce bacteria inputs into water, such as exclusion fencing for cattle and solar pumps.		68 farms participate in HFCW program (track acres & specific data associated with activities farms are involved in - organic certification, nutrient mngt, chemical reduction, pesticide removal, etc.). GIS crop type data. Pesticide use by crop type. Storm event runoff data (pesticides, organic carbon, nutrients, optical properties). Number of farmers participating in workshops and meetings at the demonstration farm, number of students involved in farm projects and/or internships.	Reduced amount of old agricultural chemicals removed from farms (2007-2012). Reduced nitrogen fertilizer use on hazelnut orchards. Reduced pesticide use on hazelnut orchards. Increased number of farmers participating in workshops. Increased organic certification of farms. Increased farmer and buyer interest in sourcing locally grown food. Pesticides found in storm event runoff from ag fields.	OSU, OSU Extension, USDA NRCS, Northwest Center for Alternatives to Pesticides. Willamette Farm & Food Coalition, LCC, U of O, Meyer Memor Trust, Cascade Pacific RC&D, Upper Willamette SWCD, McKenzie River Trust, McKenzie Watershed Council, Oregon Tilth, Oregon Hazelnut Commission, McKenzie farmers

Program	Purpose/Objectives	Main Program Components	Threats Program Addresses	Data Collected/Used	General Trends/Oberservations	Active Partners
Healthy Forests Clean Water Program	Develop a watershed forestry approach that increases conservation-based forestry, reduces wildfire risk, reduces chemical use while increasing the economic viability of forestry to keep forestland as a preferred land cover.	USFS Stewardship Contracting Program. Leaburg Demonstration Forest. Wildfire prevention and response efforts (participation on East lane Forest Protection Association Board). GIS tracking of timber harvest and chemical applications.	Medium priority threats from industrial forest management activities and low priority threats from USFS/BLM forest management activities. High priority threats from increased development in F-2 forestlands (small woodlot owners).	_	Increased timber harvests on private lands. Reduced timber harvests on federal lands (currently approximately 15% federal/85% private). Increased turbidity events in creek basins with significant logging (Quartz and Gate Creeks). Low levels of pesticides found in storm runoff from creek basins with spray activities.	USFS, Oregon Depaertment of Forestry, East Lane Forest Protection Association, OSU, U of O, McKenzie Watershed Council, McKenzie River Trust, Cascade Pacific RC&D, Oregon Wild, Cascadia Wildlands, Upper Willamette SWCD.
Septic System Assistance Program	Work with McKenzie homeowners and small communities to increase maintaince, repair and replacement of septic systems to ensure proper treatment of human waste.	Septic system inspection cost share (50%). Septic system repair and replacement zero-interest loans.	High priority threats from septic systems and development.	done, and 0% interest loans. Water quality	Systems are old - average age of McKenzie septic systems inspected is 24 years (design to last 20-30 years). Areas with high densities of septics have higher water quality impacts. Homeowner education about septic maintenance needs is significantly increasing. More failing systems are found and moving toward repair or replacement. Development continues to occur and septic systems are still sited close to river.	McKenzie homeowners, Oregon DEQ, McKenzie septic contractors, Blue River Economic Development Corp., Blue River residents, LCC
Voluntary Incentives Program		Landowner education series and demonstration landscaping project. Ripairan forest boundary delineation and mapping. LiDAR analysis of canpoy cover in riparian forest boundary. Landowner riparian health assessment. Landowner agreements. Fiscal management. Marketing and outreach. Monitoring and compliance.	High priority threats from development and septic systems, medium high priority associated with agriculture.	privately owned ripairan forest (30% good, 40% impacted, 30% signficantly degraded). House and structural footprints for each building in watershed. Building permit activities and locations. Future build-out data. Landuse zoning and tax lot information.	above intake with 200 homes in floodway and within 50 feet of river, over 680 homes are within 100 feet of river, 1,150 homes in 100-year	OSU, U of O, McKenzie River Trust, McKenzie Watershed Council, Upper Willamette SWCD, LCOG, Cascade Pacific RC&D, USFS, Freshwater Trust, Landowners, Local and national businesses

Program	Purpose/Objectives	Main Program Components	Threats Program Addresses	Data Collected/Used	General Trends/Oberservations	Active Partners
Outdoor Education Program	Provide comprehensive outdoor education opportunities for K-12	Camp and Cedar Creek Education Basins. Berggren Demonstration	High priority threats from development impacts and urban	Water quality and macroinvertebrate data collected and analyzed in Camp and Cedar	_	McKenzie School District, 4J School District, Springfield School District, LCC,
	students in McKenzie, Springfield and Eugene school districts and internship/research opportunities for U of O, OSU and LCC students associated with water quality, natural resource management and watershed sciences so the next generation of leaders are well versed in these complex issues and challenges.	Farm. Leaburg Demonstration Forest.	stormwater runoff, medium high pirority threats from agriculture and medium priority threats from forestry.	sourcing local foods). Number of students involved/educated. Number of internships. Research projects and results.	reduced opportunities for students to learn in outdoor/real world environments that provide skills for future careers that benefit watershed protection. Reduced quality of food at schools. Reduced internship opportuntiies for U of O and LCC students.	U of O, USGS, EWEB PIE and School Education Program, USFS, McKenzie Watershed Council, SUB, Lane County Education
Urban Runoff Mitigation Program	Work with City of Springfield to treat and reduce pollution impacts from stromwater runoff above EWEB's Hayden Bridge intake.	52nd Wetland Treatment Project (currently have a grant from Oregon Drinking Water Program to design and implement). 42nd Street stormwater diversion project. Child care center stormwater treatment. Oregon Industrial Lumber stormwater runoff treatment.	High priority threats from urban runoff immediately upstream of Hayden Bridge.	for 42nd Street, 52nd Street, 64th Street,		City of Springfield, SUB, McKenzie Watershed Council, ODOT, ODFW, ODEQ, Rainbow Water District, International Paper, Weyerhauser, USGS, Springfield School District

Attachment A	0 10040	2042	0 10044	204.4	0 10045		01: .:		
Program	General 2013 Costs ¹	Outside Funding Leveraged ²	General 2014 Costs ¹	Outside Funding Leveraged ²	General 2015 Budget ¹	Long-term Funding Needs (Annual Staff Time and O & M Costs)	Long Term Objectives	Long Term Benefits	Climate Change Impacts
McKenzie Watershed Emergency Response System (MWERS)	\$37,000	\$5,000	\$43,000	\$6,000	\$47,000 Anticipated 2015 Outside Funding = \$10,000	\$55,000 - \$60,000 for training, drills, equipment, GIS web application development and maintenance, and expansion into Middle and Coast Fork Willamette Watersheds.	Region 2 HazMat leads drills, training, equipment maintenance. MWERS becomes regional system including metro area and Middle & Coast Fork Willamette (2nd Source). EWEB maintains GIS web application. A new GIS Web application will facilitate better and more immediate communication around spills. New application will enable multiple users to update real-time information on response status.	Close relationships with first responder community allows better coordinated responses to other disasters. Align budgets with partners to reduce costs to EWEB and partners. Reduced short and long term impacts and cleanup costs to drinking water source from spills.	Anticipate more extreme weather events; better coordination with partners protects EWEB's infrastructure.
Water Quality Monitoring Program	198,000	\$61,000	185,000	\$43,000	\$179,000 Anticipated 2015 Outside Funding = \$60,000	\$170,000 - \$190,000 for real-time sensors and monitoring equipment at key locations in watershed, maintenance and calibration of equipment, baseline monitoring. Focused water quality investigations (as necessary), and data management and website update and maintenance.	Develop watershed monitoring network with partners that reduces costs while providing meaningful data to understand changes in water quality from landuse changes as well as climate change impacts. This may include real-time sensors and monitoring equipment at various locations in watershed that provide data to all partners.	Develop less expensive surrogate measurements for water quality that allow spatially more robust monitoring of watershed water quality and health, which would focus more in-depth investigations as necessary. Leverage partnerships to assist with funding, data management and equipment.	Allows documentation of changes in watershed conditions over time that may inform design of mitigation and/or resiliency strategies for EWEB.
Healthy Farms Clean Water Program	124,000	\$180,000	115,000	\$145,000	\$100,000 Anticipated 2015 Outside Funding = \$180,000	\$60,000 - \$80,000 for continued engagement and expansion of the HFCW Program to include placement of conservation easements to protect buffers, zero-interest loans for farmers for activities that lead to reduced chemical use, reducing risk for growers to transition to organic practices or reduce chemical use, and data management on agricultural activities and program impacts. These costs do not include devleopment of land acquisition program that would allow acquisition of key farmland properties, placement of conservation easements on critical areas for protection, then resaling properties to beginning farmers trained in water quality protection farming practices (\$100,000 - \$150,000/year).	energy) and increasing revenue (local markets that pay more, organic certification, new crops). Work with local partner agencies to seek grant funding for an incubator program,	Reduces amount of pesticides, nutrients, bacteria and other contaminants that impact EWEB's treatment capabilities and/or DBP formation (this may avoid future regulatory and treatment costs). Increased economic development for McKenzie and Eugene community by protecting farmland, protecting riprian forests and encouraging local markets that increase local economic activity. Reduce conversion of farmland to housing development.	Increases watershed and community resilience by protecting floodplain in face of more extreme weather events and building a local food system that can feed community.

Program	General 2013	2013	General 2014	2014	General 2015	Long-term Funding Needs	Long Term Objectives	Long Term Benefits	Climate Change Impacts
	Costs ¹	Outside Funding	Costs ¹	Outside Funding	Budget ¹	(Annual Staff Time and O & M Costs)			
		Leveraged ²		Leveraged ²					
Healthy Forests Clean Water Program	35,000	\$0	42,000	\$0	\$58,000	\$40,000 - \$50,000 for continued engagement in Stewardship Contracting collaborative, Leaburg Forest management, and working with industiral timber to increase buffers and reduce chemical use. Work with City of Eugene to provide pathway to off-set carbon emissions to meet carbon nuetral goals. These costs do not include development of land acquisition program that would allow acquisition of key forestland properties that could generate revenue via carbon market and conservation focused timber harvests (\$100,000 - \$150,000).		sustainable timber harvests from federal forests in a way that benefits watershed health and restoration. Diversifies private forest management to provide income from carbon/conservation based forestry. Improves local economic conditions in watershed and Eugene community. Reduces conversion of F-2 forestland to housing development. Avoided future treatment costs associated with loss of forest cover, increased sedimentation and organic carbon,	protecting riparian forests and reducing wildfire and disease risks on federal forests in the face of more extreme weather events. Increases carbon sequestration to meet City of Eugene carbon nuertral goals and builds the local economy around more restorative forestry, conservation markets and local supply of timber to the community. Leaburg Forest management would improve the health of the forest and wildlife habitat, provide for canal saftey, generate revenue, and provide educational and recreational
Septic System Assistance Program	\$26,000	\$14,000	\$28,000	\$15,000	\$31,000 Anticipated 2015 Funding = \$20,000	\$25,000 - \$30,000 for continuing cost share program.	Education of homeowners on maintenance and care of septic systems leads to proactive repair and replacement of failing systems to reduce risks from untreated sewage inputs into river.	Reduced water quality impacts and better awareness of homeowners around good stewardship responsibilities. Avoided future treatment costs associated with increased DBPs, pharmaceuticals and personal care products.	Reduces nutrient loads to river as increasing temperatures could lead to more algal blooms.

Program	General 2013 Costs ¹	2013 Outside Funding Leveraged ²	General 2014 Costs ¹	2014 Outside Funding Leveraged ²	General 2015 Budget ¹	Long-term Funding Needs (Annual Staff Time and O & M Costs)	Long Term Objectives	Long Term Benefits	Climate Change Impacts
Voluntary Incentives Program	175,000	\$130,000	191,000	\$120,000	\$195,000 Anticipated 2015 Outside Funding = \$130,000	\$275,000 - \$300,000 for developing program that protects and restores healthy riparian forests by providing incentives to landowners in return for long-term protection and restoration of these critical areas for water quality.	Provide incentives for good stewardship that educates landowners along the river, allows protection of nearly 3,000 acres of healthy riparian forests while providing opportunity to restore a large portion of the over 7,000 acres of degraded riparian forests.	Riparian forests provide critical functions for continued clean water, including pollution filtration, septic waste uptake via root systems, erosion control, flood mitigation, temperature control to reduce algal growth, ESA fish habitat (helps EWEB hydroelectric projects). Provides education for hundreds of landowners along the river about good stewardship and what it looks like. Reduces future development in these critical areas. Assists in economic development and increased capacity of partner organizations to work with landowners. Engagement of businesses sponsorship and outside investment in McKenzie.	Increased watershed resiliency by protecting riparian forests in face of more extreme weather events. Reduced property damage and flushing of contaminants into river Mitigation of increasing temperatures, reducing algal bloom impacts and formation of DBPs.
Outdoor Education Program	\$41,000	\$23,000	31,000	\$10,000	\$25,000 Anticipated 2014 Outside Funding = \$8,000	\$30,000 - \$40,000 for assisting with funding for outdoor education basin coordinators who work directly with schools and teachers to incorporate water quality monitoring, field chemistry, field biology, geography (GIS and mapping), and statistical analysis into classroom instruction. Align with existing EWEB Partners In Education program. Student stipends for internships and some funding for research projects that directly benefit watershed protection efforts. Funds would also help maintain database and websites that house student data for analysis.	state, and federal partners to create outdoor education creek basins for each area high school that build on	Provides comprehensive education opportunities around watershed science, water quality and natural resource management that helps prepare community youth for future careers and understanding the complexities of developing long term solutions in the face of changing climates. Short term benefits are having focused work conducted in various creek basins that can lead to research and deeper thinking around current problems and solutions. Long	Education, research and data analysis of watershed issues is conducted in the face of a changing climate. Can provide long term data sets in various creek basins that can be used to see impacts from climate change over time and help develop integrated solutions.

Water Funded

Electric Funded

\$470,000

\$169,000

Program	General 2013	2013	General 2014	2014	General 2015	Long-term Funding Needs	Long Term Objectives	Long Term Benefits	Climate Change Impacts
	Costs ¹	Outside	Costs ¹	Outside	Budget ¹	(Annual Staff Time and O & M Costs)			
		Funding		Funding					
		Leveraged ²		Leveraged ²					
Urban Runoff	\$23,000	\$10,000	32,000	\$15,000	\$36,000	\$40,000 - \$50,000 for assisting with	Develop strong partnership with City	Development of stormwater	Provides more resiliency and
Mitigation Program					Anticipated	development of other wetland treatment	of Springfield to prioritize stromwater	treatment structures (e.g., wetlands,	treatment during extreme events
					2015 Outside	buffers upstream on 52nd Street, diverting	treatment and mitigation efforts for	bio swales, infiltration galleries,	that flush pollutants from urban
					Funding =	42nd Street stormwater to Q Street channel,	systems that discharge upstream of	stormwater diversions, etc.) removes	areas. Lowers water temperatures
					\$20,000	and working with Child Care Center and	intake. Align and leverage funding to	contaminants and provides buffers to	and reduces algal blooms that can
						Oregon Industrial Lumber to mitigate	increase development of treatment	McKenzie River during storm event	lead to DBP formation.
						stormwater runoff. Track City of Springfield	projects and education programs. Use	flushes and spills to stormwater	
						storwater mitigation activities, comment on	NPDES permit as tool to leverage this	drains. Avoids/reduces future	
						NPDES permit renewal and coordinate with	partnership.	treatment costs and regulatory costs.	
						SUB on other stormwater issues.			
TOTALS	\$659,000	\$423,000	\$667,000	\$354,000	\$671,000				

2015 Outside Funding = \$428,000

\$475,000

\$196,000

\$473,000

194,000

¹ - Gross estimate of costs (includes labor and O & M) due to Work Asset Management (WAM) system implementation. Not able to track costs at project level.

² - Leveraged funds from outside agencies and partners includes only cash and not in-kind services (i.e., under estimates true leveraged resources).