



MEMORANDUM

EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD

Rely on us.

TO: Commissioners Helgeson, Brown, Mital, Simpson and Carlson
FROM: Sue Fahey, Chief Financial Officer and Aaron Balmer, Interim General Accounting Supervisor
DATE: May 26, 2017
SUBJECT: Update of Financial Policies
OBJECTIVE: Board Action - Approval of Resolution No. 1711

Issue

The financial policies supporting Board Policy SD6 require periodic updates. The last revision was approved by the Board in July 2015.

Background

The Board has adopted financial policies to assist in ensuring the Electric and Water Utilities' long term financial sustainability. The policies include several reserve funds, targets and other financial metrics. Staff review the policies on an annual basis and determine if any revisions should be recommended in order to maintain the Utilities' strong financial position. A summary of additional revisions based on Board guidance and additional research is included below.

Discussion

Section 1.1 – Rate Sufficiency Policy

June Update

After further research, the performance metric for Working Capital Days Cash has been increased to >150 days to support the higher credit ratings for each utility. Additionally, staff has reviewed our policy metrics with EWEB's financial advisor and believe the existing Debt Service Coverage ratio adequately addresses this area and are not proposing to add additional metrics.

Section 1.2 – Rate Stability Policy

This section has been updated to include a Rate Stabilization Fund for both Utilities. The Rate Stabilization Fund is intended to enhance the Utility's agility during financial challenges and minimize rate impacts to customers. Funds will be used for one-time expenses, emergent items and/or to reduce borrowing requirements. The recommended targets of \$5 million for the Electric Utility and \$1 million for the Water Utility represent approximately a 3% rate impact for each Utility.

In addition, the Power Reserve target has been recalculated according to policy, and Management is recommending reducing the standard to \$17 million from \$22.1 million. The reduction is primarily a result of lower power prices reducing risk.

Section 1.3 – Capital Improvement Funding and Reserve Policy

June Update

After further research, staff is not proposing a change in the metric proposed at the May meeting.

The target for the Capital Improvement Reserve is based on annual depreciation expense. The target amount for the Electric Utility has been increased to \$22 million from \$20 million which more closely aligns with this measure.

The Age of System performance standard has been added as a metric to manage and monitor regular investment in system infrastructure and is based on American Public Power Association's (APPA) metrics which indicate that a system over 65% should be watched for deterioration and under 50% is considered relatively new. Staff is researching whether a different performance standard should be used for the Water Utility and may propose a change at the June 6th Board meeting.

The Rate of Return performance standard has been added and measures a utility's ability to pay current and future infrastructure costs. Adequate rates of return help ensure current customers pay their share of the use of infrastructure. The performance standard is based on industry benchmarks for comparable utilities.

Section 1.5 – Replenishment of Reserves

June Update

This section has been updated to clarify the Board's ability to exercise discretion in how quickly reserves are replenished in the event a reserve falls below Board targets.

This section has been added to establish criteria in the event a reserve falls below Board targets and specifies the order of precedence and length of time to replenish.

Section 2.3 – Debt Policy

June Update

After further research, staff believe the existing metric of Debt as a % of NBV appropriately aligns the Utilities' use of debt to fund capital projects and can adequately monitor debt levels and that benchmarks are appropriate.

The Debt/Asset and Debt/Equity ratios have been replaced by Debt as a % of Net Book Value (NBV) to reflect industry trends. Since EWEB issues debt primarily for infrastructure work, Debt as a % NBV is a more relevant metric for a utility, and measures how leveraged the system is. The performance standard is based on APPA's median value for a generating facility. Staff is researching benchmarks for Water Utilities and may recommend a revision at the June 6th Board meeting. EWEB's financial advisor indicated rating agencies are interested in a utility's Equity to Capitalization percentage which measures cost recovery, leverage and debt capacity. Staff is also researching performance standards for this metric and may propose adding it to policy at the June Board meeting.

Section 5.0 – Reference Documents

The cash and reserve targets summary has been revised based on Management's recommendations.

Requested Board Action

Management recommends approval of Resolution No. 1711 updating the Financial Policies that support the Board's financial targets, strategies and reporting.



Eugene Water & Electric Board

Rely on us.

Financial Policies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1.0 RESERVE POLICIES..... 3**
- 1.1 Rate Sufficiency Policy..... 3**
- 1.2 Rate Stability Policy..... 4**
- 1.3 Capital Improvement Funding and Reserve Policy..... 5**
- 1.4 Retirement Benefits Funding Policy 7**
- 1.5 Replenishment of Reserves..... 8**
- 2.0 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT POLICIES 8**
- 2.1 Cost Management Policy..... 8**
- 2.2 Budget Policy 9**
- 2.3 Debt Policy 10**
- 2.4 Billing and Collection Policy..... 11**
- 3.0 FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT POLICIES 12**
- 3.1 Financial Risk Management Policy 12**
- 3.2 Power Risk Management Policy 12**
- 3.3 Investment Policy 13**
- 4.0 ACCOUNTING POLICIES..... 13**
- 4.1 Financial Entity Policy 13**
- 4.2 Capitalization Policy 14**
- 5.0 Appendix A: Cash and Reserve Targets Summary 16**

EWEB Financial Policies

1.0 RESERVE POLICIES

1.1 Rate Sufficiency Policy

Rates and charges will be adequate to provide revenues sufficient to maintain a degree of financial soundness over and above requirements for compliance with existing bond covenants. Performance standards are based on review with EWEB's Financial Advisor regarding financial market conditions and rating agency considerations, as well as industry benchmarks for comparable utilities. (BP SD6)

Discussion:

EWEB bond resolutions contain a rate sufficiency covenant that is a standard provision in municipal utility bond contracts. The covenant requires that rates and charges be set at a level that is high enough to pay the costs of operating and maintaining the utilities. This rate sufficiency policy is a higher standard than that required by the standard rate covenant contained in the bond resolutions. The policy is intended to supplement the weaker financial performance standards set out as minimum requirements in the bond resolutions. The financial standard implied by this policy is that rates and charges will be maintained at a level consistent with an average credit rating of A for the Electric Utility and AA rating for the Water Utility.

Credit rating agencies evaluate creditworthiness by assessing an organization's ability to adequately address issues of strategic importance. Credit analysis includes the track record of performance as reflected in widely used ratios and statistics. These measurements are compared with other similarly situated utilities to determine relative financial strength within the industry. An example of such a statistic is "debt service coverage ratio" which shows how many times debt service can be paid from net revenues. Minimum legal debt service coverage requirements are 1.35 times debt service for issuing new debt for the Electric Utility and 1.25 times debt service for the Water Utility. EWEB's long term target for debt service coverage ratio for the Electric Utility is 1.75 to 2.0 and the Water Utility is 2.00 to 2.50 times debt service.

	Performance Standard
Electric Utility	
Working Capital Days Cash	>150 days
Current Ratio	3.250x
Debt Service Coverage	1.75 to 2.0x
Water Utility	
Working Capital Days Cash	>150 days
Current Ratio	3.250x
Debt Service Coverage	2.0 to 2.50

EWEB Financial Policies

Working cash balances are based on the amount of cash needed to pay for ongoing operational expenditures and maintain an amount of working capital to support the day's cash ratio sufficient to maintain higher than average credit rating. The target for working cash is \$24 million and \$3.4 million for the Electric and Water Utility, respectively.

1.2 Rate Stability Policy

Certain funds will be held in reserve for the purpose of mitigating the customer rate impact of unanticipated events. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

It is the nature of budgets, financial projections, and other statements about the future to contain uncertainty. The intent of this policy is to set aside funds or other financial instruments to smooth out the financial impact on customers when assumptions about the future do not comport with actual events as they transpire.

Power Reserve

The Electric Utility owns or has contracted for power resources that exceed the amounts needed to serve customer load and is exposed to certain power portfolio and retail load risks that can have significant adverse effects on financial stability. Those risks include, generation, power price, retail load, and credit risks. EWEB has established a power reserve that is designed to provide funds sufficient to cover operational costs in the event of adverse fluctuations in these risks. The funds needed to mitigate financial impacts of fluctuations are estimated annually based upon the measurement criteria specific to each of the major risks. Generation risk is calculated by measuring the impact to revenues if water available for generation is at Firm levels which is approximately 70% of median. Power price risk is calculated by assuming prices decrease 30% from budget expectations, and retail load risk is calculated assuming a 4% decrease from budgeted load. Credit risk is a flat dollar amount that represents approximately 50% of counterparty exposure. The combined amounts are intended to cover operational cost for one calendar year and prevent sudden and significant impacts to customer rates. The Board of Commissioners may elect to supplement the calculated amounts at their discretion.

Operating Reserves

The Water and Electric Operating Reserve accounts are used in similar fashion to smooth out the effects of revenue shortfalls or unforeseen expenses.

EWEB Financial Policies

Self-Insurance Reserve

The Self Insurance Reserve is to fund the out-of pocket liability costs of third party claims. The target for the Self-Insurance Reserve combined for both the Electric and Water Utilities totals \$2 million, which is based on the amount EWEB is self-insured. Excess liability insurance protects EWEB after the self-insurance retention is exhausted.

Rate Stabilization Funds

The Water and Electric Rate Stabilization Fund accounts are used to enhance the Utilities' agility during financial challenges such as unanticipated costs or reduced revenues, and minimize or smooth rate impacts to customers. This fund is intended to manage one-time events, emergent items or to reduce borrowing requirements. Allocations are made at Board discretion. Targets for the rate stabilization funds approximate a 3% rate impact for each Utility.

Electric Utility	Target
Power Reserve	\$17,000,000
Operating Reserve	\$ 2,000,000
Self-Insurance Reserve	\$ 1,720,000
Rate Stabilization Fund	\$ 5,000,000

Water Utility	
Operating Reserve	\$1,000,000
Self-Insurance Reserve	\$ 280,000
Rate Stabilization Fund	\$1,000,000

1.3 Capital Improvement Funding and Reserve Policy

Utility plant assets will be maintained to provide reliable, high quality service, including such capital additions as may be necessary to support growth in loads and customer base, and associated infrastructure. (BP SD6)

Discussion:

EWEB's approach to financing capital assets uses a combination of current rate revenue, capital improvement reserves, contributions in aid of construction, system development charges, and debt financing.

Capital projects are classified as Type 1, Type 2, or Type 3. Each year, an amount is budgeted from rate revenues to provide ongoing funding for a base level of capital additions and replacements. The base level amount is determined through an

EWEB Financial Policies

evaluation of the age and condition of basic capital infrastructure of the Electric and the Water Utilities taking into consideration capital reserve levels. This amount represents what is needed annually to maintain the desired level of service reliability on a long-term basis. These are considered Type 1 capital projects; projects that are ongoing capital infrastructure replacements.

Type 2 capital projects are large rebuilding or expansion projects in excess of \$1 million that occur periodically and may be funded with rates or bonds. Type 3 capital projects are major strategic projects and are funded with bonds and/or reserves.

Capital funding requirements are determined by a Capital Improvement Plan (CIP). The CIP is a ten-year projection of capital needs that is updated annually and approved by the Board. The CIP sets out, for each utility, the anticipated need for utility and support infrastructure to meet customer demands and system reliability standards. Identified in the CIP is an indication of the proportion of funding from 1) rates, 2) accumulated reserves, and 3) debt proceeds.

The target amount for the Electric and Water Utility Capital Improvement Reserve is based on one year's depreciation expense adjusted for service reliability needs. In general, reinvestment in capital should be at the same rate as depreciation.

A system over 65% depreciated should be watched for aging.

Rate of return measures the ability to pay current and future infrastructure costs. Rates outside the performance standard should be evaluated to ensure current customers pay their share for the use of infrastructure. A higher rate of return signals current customers may be paying more towards future infrastructure costs, while a lower rate of return signals current customers may not be paying enough for current costs.

The Targets are:

Electric Utility: \$22 million

Water Utility: \$7 million

Performance Standard – Electric and Water:

Age of System < 60%

Rate of Return 5 - 7%

EWEB Financial Policies

1.4 Retirement Benefits Funding Policy

All long-term liabilities that must be either disclosed and/or accounted for in the financial statements will be funded according to a rational and consistent plan that targets full funding of the liabilities over a specified period of time. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

Unfunded retirement liabilities result from pension and other post-employment benefit programs. The primary financial strategy with these plans is to pay the actuarially determined annual required contribution, which pays for the current costs and unfunded liabilities over a designated period of years. However, if the funded status of the plans reach 70% funded status or less, an assessment of accelerated funding will be performed. When the funding status of the plan is at or below 70% of funded status, the plan is financially unstable as the plan is no longer self-funding based on actuarially determined contribution rates. Below is a summary of the three plans.

1) **Pension Plan** - The Oregon PERS (OPERS) continues to experience volatility in regard to the rates employers pay to the state pension plan for benefits. EWEB pays the actuarially determined rate. In years where there is a difference between the PERS ordered contribution rate and the amount provided for in the annual budget, the excess amounts will be set aside in a Board reserve for reduction of unfunded retirement liabilities in the future.

2) **Other Post-Employment Benefits** – EWEB created a trust in November 2007 as a means through which assets are accumulated and benefits are paid for other post-employment benefits (OPEB), other than pension benefits. Eligible retirees and beneficiaries of EWEB receive health care and life insurance benefits.

3) **Supplemental Retirement Plan** – EWEB created a pension plan in 1968 to provide supplemental retirement benefits to employees. The objective of the plan was to provide a benefit on retirement, which together with benefit from the OPERS, will provide 1.67% of the highest 36-month average salary for each year of service. The plan was closed in 1988. EWEB contributes actuarially determined amounts to a designated pension fund that pays the annual cost for this closed plan. Due to the nature of the closed plan, it is more cost effective to pay-as-you go, than set up a trust.

EWEB Financial Policies

1.5 Replenishment of Reserves

If a reserve balance falls below Board targets, the order of precedence and minimum length of time to replenish will be determined using the following criteria:

Order of Precedence: 1 – Rate Sufficiency Policy
2 – Capital Reserve Policy
3 – Rate Stability Policy

Length of time to replenish:

	Electric Utility	Water Utility
One year	<\$2 million below target	< \$500,000 below target
Three years	>\$2 million and < \$5 million below target	> \$500,000 and < \$1 million below target
Five years *	> \$5 million below target	> \$1 million below target

*Should a reserve fall drastically below target, a determination will be made whether cash should be secured by other means (i.e., Letter of Credit or bond issuance).

If a reserve falls below target, staff will propose a replenishment strategy to the Board for approval during the annual reserve transfer process or sooner if deemed necessary.

2.0 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT POLICIES

2.1 Cost Management Policy

EWEB will take cost management actions that provide for authorized budgets and include actions to maintain expenditures within authorized budget levels. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

The annual budget is the primary tool for setting rates and controlling costs within a given year. For accounting and budgetary purposes, the budgets are broken into operating and capital components for each Utility. The operating budget of the Electric Utility further separates power and related costs as distinct from non-power operating costs. The reason for this is that the cost of power and related items generally varies with changes in sales volume. Non-power items are composed of mostly labor, services and materials that are less susceptible to variations in sales volumes.

The annual budgets are the maximum level of expenditure authorized by the Board. Conditions may arise during any given budget year that cause projected expenditures for either Utility's operations & maintenance and/or capital budgets to be higher than those approved by the Board. If any of the specific conditions occur as defined in Board Policy EL1 - Financial Controls, Management is required to propose a budget amendment.

EWEB Financial Policies

The budget amendment proposal must state the causes of the projected non-budgeted expenditures, the offsetting actions taken to mitigate the increase, and the source of any additional funding requested. The Board will consider each proposed budget amendment and either approve or disapprove. In the event of disapproval, the General Manager will exercise established authorities in taking actions necessary to curtail spending within authorized levels.

To monitor the budget, cost management procedures involve the monthly review of variances from the authorized budget by supervisors and managers. The review of power-related items is performed by the Power Risk Management Committee and is separate from non-power items. Actual and projected capital and other non-power expenditures are monitored by the Leadership Team. With the assistance of financial staff, the Leadership Team determines what degree unfavorable variances in one department can be offset by favorable variances in another. In the event of a shortfall, the Leadership Team will determine whether to bring a budget amendment forward or curtail other activities to remain within authorized spending levels.

2.2 Budget Policy

The authorized annual spending plan will be balanced such that resources meet or exceed requirements in each fiscal year. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

Long-term financial stability can be assured only if, in each year, the annual spending plan is fully funded and results in a balanced budget. The budget is considered balanced when the following three conditions are met:

- 1) Expected annual operating revenues and use of reserves for one-time expenses equal or exceed anticipated operation and maintenance expenses.
- 2) Budgeted capital outlays are funded in full from a combination of net operating revenues, capital improvement reserves, accumulated system development charges, and debt proceeds.
- 3) Pro forma presentation of debt service coverage shows a ratio at or above the Board established performance standard (Rate Sufficiency Policy 1.1).

EWEB Financial Policies

2.3 Debt Policy

Funds to acquire major capital improvements will be provided in accordance with the estimated useful lives of such assets. (BP SD6)

Discussion:

Prudent financial practice dictates the use of debt financing only in those cases where public policy, ratepayer equity, and economic efficiency favor the use of debt over current financing. In EWEB's case, debt is considered an appropriate funding option for Type 2 and Type 3 capital projects. (See the discussion under Capital Reserve Policy 1.3.) Debt service payments shall not exceed the useful life of the asset and should be structured to mirror the stream of benefits from the facility or project being funded.

Long-term debt financing will be considered for those major system improvements that meet two general criteria:

- The asset has a relatively long useful economic life (at least 10 years);
- The asset is a significant item included within the capital budget portion of the electric and/or water project plans.

However, if debt levels are too high the utility could become over-leveraged relative to its asset base and revenue producing capability. In all cases, management will balance the benefit of long term financing with the overall health of the organization as determined by appropriate measures of financial leverage.

Performance standards, are based on review with EWEB's Financial Advisor regarding financial market conditions and rating agency considerations, as well as industry benchmarks for comparable utilities.

	<u>Performance Standard</u>
Electric Utility	
Debt as a % of NBV	60% or less
Water Utility	
Debt as a % of NBV	60% or less

EWEB Financial Policies

2.4 Billing and Collection Policy

Services will be billed in an accurate and timely manner and collected with fair and equitable consideration for all customers. (BP SD6)

Discussion:

Sound business and collection practices will be applied uniformly to all customers. EWEB maintains a customer credit rating system to provide fair and equitable consideration in deposit and collection practices for all customers. Decisions to extend payment terms for anyone are based on the customer's good faith, ability to pay, and payment history.

EWEB provides cost-effective customer assistance programs (e.g., Budget Payment Plan, Customer Care, payment extension options, dispute/appeals recourse, etc.). EWEB will also cooperate with customers participating in social service programs such as the Limited Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and other resources available to customers.

EWEB makes every reasonable and cost-effective attempt to secure payment of all accounts receivable. In accordance with bond covenants, products and services are not provided free of charge. Bills are issued based upon actual use of products and services, except that billings are estimated when EWEB service meters are inaccessible, or other considerations necessitate issuing estimated billings. Following an estimated reading, charges are adjusted to record and reflect actual consumption.

EWEB employees make a concerted effort to inform customers about the options available to them regarding payment for and controlled use of EWEB products and services as situations may deem advisable. In addition, EWEB has built strong partnerships with community social service organizations that create preventive strategies for avoiding disconnection of services.

Performance standards are as follows:

	Performance Standard
Write-offs as a % of Rate Revenue	.5% or less

EWEB Financial Policies

3.0 FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT POLICIES

3.1 Financial Risk Management Policy

Financial risks associated with EWEB operations will be proactively managed in a cost-effective and efficient manner consistent with prudent utility practice. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

The objective of financial risk management is ongoing identification and mitigation of the risk of financial losses including power risk, property damage and other insurable risks, vendor contract development and administration, and risks associated with administering Oregon Public Contracting laws and statutes. EWEB will transfer as much as is reasonably possible of its liability contractually, and retain those risks that can be self-assumed without seriously affecting the financial condition of the organization. EWEB will purchase sufficient insurance coverage when the risk is of a catastrophic nature or beyond the capacity of the organization to absorb, or when it is required by law or contract. However, insurance shall, of necessity, be limited to availability of coverage at reasonable cost, consistent with the probable frequency, severity and impact of losses on the financial stability of the organization.

Due to the nature and extent of commodity risks, power supply related risk management policies are separately addressed in the Power Risk Management Policy.

3.2 Power Risk Management Policy

Purchases and sales of electric power and related financial instruments will be managed to maximize the benefits to customers from wholesale transactions while minimizing the risk that wholesale activities will adversely affect retail prices. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

For many years the staff at EWEB has worked to reduce power purchase costs while managing or avoiding risks that might result in price shocks or supply interruptions. Rapid changes in the electric power industry since 2000 have challenged traditional methods and prompted EWEB to migrate to power management systems and controls similar to those used in commodity trading organizations.

The Board has established a Power Risk Management Policy to provide direction and oversight as referenced in Board Policy SD8 - Power Risk Management Policies.

EWEB Financial Policies

3.3 Investment Policy

EWEB's investment portfolio will be managed to achieve safety of capital, achieve market rates of return, and provide sufficient liquidity to meet disbursement schedules. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

EWEB's Investment Policy calls for the investment of excess funds in a manner which will preserve capital and provide sufficient liquidity to meet cash flow demands while conforming to all State statutes governing investment of public funds and bond covenants. The policy includes provisions with respect to diversification and the credit quality of securities purchased. EWEB's primary objectives are, in order of priority: safety of principal, liquidity and achieving a rate of return at least equal to the return on a comparably maturing U.S. Treasury bill. EWEB attempts to match its investments to anticipated cash flow requirements. Securities are intended to be held to maturity, unless the quality, yield or maturity characteristics of the portfolio can be improved by replacing one security with another.

4.0 ACCOUNTING POLICIES

4.1 Financial Entity Policy

EWEB will account for separate financial entities and will clearly define relationships among those entities to facilitate management decision-making. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

1) Financial Reporting and Budget

Financial accounting standards and Bond covenants require that EWEB maintain separate financial records for the Electric Utility and the Water Utility. Each entity has separate legal standing and revenues backing their respective bond issues and separate budgets. Often, the Utilities share personnel or other resources. The shared resources are allocated between the systems for accounting and ratemaking purposes.

2) Reporting Entity

For external reporting purposes, EWEB is required to follow Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) definition of a reporting entity as EWEB is considered a primary government. The Electric and Water Utilities are reported separately with a combined total for both systems.

For internal reporting purposes, the results and financial position of the Electric Utility and the Water Utility will be reported separately. In addition, any component of either

EWEB Financial Policies

Utility, which can be separately reported, and for which separate reporting would be useful, such as a major line of business, class of customer, or new operation will be separately reported as required by EWEB management from time to time.

EWEB also has various relationships with other parties, such as 1) Western Generation Agency, an Intergovernmental Agency cogeneration project, 2) Trojan Nuclear Project, a jointly owned decommissioned nuclear plant and 3) Harvest Wind, a joint ownership with an equity investment in a wind generating facility and 4) OPEB Trust, post-employment health care and life insurance benefits trust. These projects or investments are separate legal entities that are properly recorded within the Electric System and are fully disclosed in the footnotes of the financial statements.

4.2 Capitalization Policy

Major utility expenditures for labor, materials and/or services that result in revenue or benefits in future reporting periods will be capitalized and allocated to match such future revenue or benefits through periodic amortization or depreciation, using methodologies acceptable under accounting standards. Additions, renewals, and betterments with a minimum cost of \$5,000 are capitalized. Repairs and minor replacements are recorded as operating expenses. *(BP SD6)*

Discussion:

1) Utility Plant in Service

The physical assets that make up the electric and water production, transmission and distribution systems, including the acquisition of land or construction of a building are capitalized and included in plant in service.

2) Preliminary Investigations and Regulatory Accounting

It is accepted utility practice to accumulate preliminary investigations, costs of projects the utility believes will be viable in the future. An example of this for EWEB is relicensing costs for the Carmen-Smith Project. Preliminary investigations are recorded as an "Other Asset" on the Statement of Net Position.

EWEB policy also permits the use of regulatory accounting, which allows for revenues and expenses to be charged to future periods to match the time periods when the revenue and expenses are included in rates. Revenues and expenses that are recorded using regulatory accounting may be treated as other assets or liabilities or deferred inflows or outflows, depending on the nature of the revenue or expense. An example of a regulatory other asset is unamortized bond issuance costs. An example of deferred inflows and outflows is the recording of the change in market value of hedging derivative instruments. Board approval, either by resolution or by inclusion in the annual budget, is required prior to using regulatory accounting.

EWEB Financial Policies

Source: Board Approved 01/18/2000, Ratified 04/19/2005, Amended 07/19/2005, Amended 06/04/2013, Resolution No. 1308 07/16/13, Amended 07/21/2015, Resolution 1518, Propose Amending 06/06/2017, Resolution 1711.

EWEB Financial Policies

5.0 APPENDIX A: CASH AND RESERVE TARGETS SUMMARY

6/6/17

<u>Cash and Reserve Accounts</u>	<u>Electric Utility Target</u>	<u>Water Utility Target</u>
1) Working Cash	\$24,000,000	\$3,400,000
2) Power Reserve	17,000,000	
3) Operating Reserve	2,000,000	1,000,000
4) Self-Insurance Reserve	1,720,000	280,000
5) Capital Improvement Reserve	22,000,000	7,000,000
6) Rate Stabilization Fund	5,000,000	1,000,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total	<u>\$71,720,000</u>	<u>\$12,680,000</u>

- 1) Working Cash – amount of cash needed to pay for ongoing operational costs during the year.
- 2) Power Reserve – amount of reserves to offset fluctuations due to the effects of risk exposures, and any budgeted draw on the reserve.
- 3) Operating Reserve – reserve for emergency operating costs.
- 4) Self-Insurance Reserve – reserve to pay for claims incurred during the year and target is based on the \$2 million self-insured retention for both utilities combined.
- 5) Capital Improvement Reserve – reserve for capital improvements and target is based on approximately one year’s depreciation.
- 6) Rate Stabilization Fund – reserve for one-time use at Board discretion; target amount approximates the dollar equivalent of a 3% price increase

**RESOLUTION NO. 1711
JUNE 2017**

**EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD
FINANCIAL POLICIES**

WHEREAS, the Eugene Water & Electric Board is the body designated by the Eugene City Charter and City Code to administer the Electric and Water Utilities of the City of Eugene;

WHEREAS, the Eugene Water & Electric Board has established Financial Policies to support the Board's financial targets, strategies and reporting.

WHEREAS, the Eugene Water & Electric Board has reviewed year-end results;

WHEREAS, the Financial Policies have been reviewed and updated based on 2016, year-end results, the Board's targets, associated financial metrics, and accounting standards.

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has reviewed revisions to Financial Policies and has determined that the revisions are appropriate and necessary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Eugene Water & Electric Board hereby authorizes the General Manager to adopt and enforce the Financial Policies as updated.

Dated this 6th day of June 2017.

THE CITY OF EUGENE, OREGON
Acting by and through the
Eugene Water & Electric Board

President

I, ANNE M. KAH, the duly appointed, qualified, and acting Assistant Secretary of the Eugene Water & Electric Board, do hereby certify that the above is a true and exact copy of the Resolution adopted by the Board at its June 6, 2017 Regular Board Meeting.

Assistant Secretary