

EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD
REGULAR BOARD MEETING
EWEB BOARD ROOM
500 EAST 4TH AVENUE
DECEMBER 19, 2006
7:30 P.M.

Commissioners present: Sandra Bishop, Mel Menegat, John Simpson, Patrick Lanning, and Ron Farmer.

Others present: Randy Berggren, Dick Varner, Tom Buckhouse, Marty Douglass, Jim Origliosso, Jim Wiley, Ken Beeson, Patti Boyle, Rod Price, Terry Bequette, Debra Smith, Brad Taylor, Mel Damewood, Reid Hart, Deborah Brewer, Jason Heuser, and Krista Hince of the EWEB staff; Commissioner-elect John Brown; and Ruth Atcherson, City of Eugene minutes recorder.

President Bishop convened the Regular Meeting of the Eugene Water & Electric Board (EWEB).

AGENDA CHECK

Vice President Menegat presented a plaque of appreciation for ten years of distinguished service to the community to President Bishop on behalf of the Board, EWEB, and the citizens of Eugene.

President Bishop thanked everyone.

There were no changes to the agenda.

PUBLIC INPUT

Sang Huinh, 1470 Mesa Avenue, shared his concerns regarding Commissioner Farmer's suggestion during the Work Session that the costs of the Roosevelt Boulevard project could possibly go down. He averred that the staff person giving the presentation tried to convince people to rush to start the project before it became expensive. He noted that EWEB intended to use a Uniform Revenue Bond Act (URBA) bond, but he thought a project of this magnitude should be put to a vote. He recalled that the City had to put the police station proposal through a ballot twice. He did not think EWEB should be allowed to bypass the voters. He was also concerned about the debt ratio for EWEB, which was 60 percent. He felt that was high. He worked for the "utility across the river" and it did not have such a high debt ratio. He asked for some clarification regarding his concerns. He noted that the article in the *Register Guard* regarding rate increases to pay for the Roosevelt project had not mentioned the Carmen Smith relicensing project. He thought the rate payers should be given a clear picture of what the total increases would be.

Zachary Vishanoff, somewhere on Patterson Street, asked the Commissioners if his testimony over time had been annoying or enlightening. He felt there were pressing issues at hand and once they began they were like the "train leaving the station" and individual concerns whether they were legally strong or not had a way of being overridden. He noted that the riverbanks contained sociological questions in that it brought up historical race issues having to do with where some people were forced to live. He also asserted that there had been a toxic waste dump along side the river. He thought the City would contribute if there were a wider awareness of the dump. He wanted a public hearing on the use of the riverfront land as soon as the Board could fit it in. He

suggested the format be so that people could say whatever they thought about the riverfront. He said “as an activist” he would like to know what his workload would be. He said if the EWEB Board was unsupportive of his position he would understand that he was on his own and the riverfront would stay “not very talked about” then he would work hard to talk to people. He added his appreciation for President Bishop’s work. He said when there were “hot issues that somebody had to put a raincheck on” he had been thankful to hear her view on the riverfront. He commented that she was a riparian “activist-type person and coming from me that’s a compliment.”

Commissioner Simpson said he always appreciated Mr. Vishanoff’s comments. He noted that Mr. Vishanoff had brought issues in regard to nanotechnology to his attention that he was now tracking.

Commissioner Lanning wished to ensure that Mr. Huinh’s questions would be addressed. General Manager Randy Berggren assured him that staff would respond to the questions.

APPROVAL OF CONSENT CALENDAR

Minutes

1. November 15, 2006, Joint Meeting with EWEB and the Eugene City Council.

Business Service Agreements

2. **Black & Veatch Corporation** – Backwash System Upgrade & Finish Water Pumps Abandonment at Hayden Bridge – Water & Steam Divisions.
3. **Rittal Corporation** – EWEB Headquarters Building Rewiring – Informational Services – Corporate Services Division.

Intergovernmental Agreement

4. **Lane County** – General Bridge Maintenance – Electric Division.

Resolution

5. **Resolution No. 0636** – December 2006 Water Systems Development Charge (SDC) Revision, Effective January 1, 2007.

President Bishop pulled the minutes of November 15, 2006 Joint Meeting.

Commissioner Farmer pulled Item 5, Water Systems Development Charge.

Vice President Menegat, seconded by Commissioner Simpson, moved to approve the Consent Calendar with the exception of the minutes and Item 5 (Water Systems Development Charge). The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

ITEMS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

Commissioner Lanning expressed appreciation to President Bishop for her service. He noted that after her departure he would be the senior member of the Board. He also thanked Vice President Menegat for serving as his alternate at the Lane Council of Governments meeting at which EWEB’s Water Resource and System Planner Brad Taylor had given his presentation on water issues. He had heard from a couple of mayors from the outlying communities, a county commissioner, and a city

councilor that it had been a beneficial presentation.

Vice President Menegat commended Water Resource and Systems Planner Brad Taylor for his excellent presentation. He related that the outlying areas had a great deal of interest in it. He added that they had met at the Emerald Peoples Utility District and during the meeting the lights had gone out. An emergency generator kicked in and the lights came back on but the heat remained off.

Vice President Menegat also reported that the General Manager Compensation Review Committee had met and would be bringing items before the Board regarding governance work, the salary review/performance appraisal, and the schedule toward the end of January. They were waiting until the audit information was complete.

Commissioner Simpson said the General Manager Compensation Review Committee would be coming back before the Board in March or April for feedback.

Commissioner Simpson related that he had attended a McKenzie Watershed Council (MWC) meeting at EWEB on the night of the storm. He said they discussed the Town of Coburg's need for access to wastewater treatment. It was currently reviewing five possible options, one of which would deploy a pressurized tank system for each homeowner that would utilize a simple filtration facility. He stated that it brought up the question of where to take the effluent.

Commissioner Simpson reported that he had checked in with Electric Operations before he left the Watershed Meeting and had been very impressed by the efficiency and the responsiveness to customer need the electrical operations staff demonstrated.

President Bishop asked if all of the line crews that EWEB had loaned to Portland had been returned. Mr. Berggren replied that there were still some crews in Portland. Electric Division Director Jim Wiley elaborated, explaining that 17 people had gone to Portland on the morning of December 16 and that they would be released at 7 a.m. on December 20. The crews had worked for the Portland Gas & Electric and the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA), and one crew had been sent to Washington/Clark County to help the Public Utility District there and then went to Portland.

Commissioner Farmer asked if any concrete power poles had fallen during the storm. Electric Division Director Jim Wiley replied that no transmission poles failed in EWEB territory. He added that all customers had power restored on by Friday, except for three customers, and those needed an electrician prior to re-establishing service.

President Bishop recalled her previous conversation regarding the EWEB Board Room sound system. She related that she had spoken with the City of Eugene Metro Television Coordinator, Robert Lewis, and he indicated he was a sound engineer and had often provided bids to public groups for such work. Mr. Berggren responded that it had already been taken care of.

President Bishop stated that she had received an email from the administrator of the orthopedic health care facility on Coburg Road. She asked if the situation had been resolved. Mr. Berggren replied that he would provide her with the EWEB perspective on the situation. He explained that fiber was available to the site. Assistant to the General Manager Debra Smith added that it was not a “dead deal.” She related that EWEB representatives had presented the estimate for providing fiber to the site and the representative of the facility had believed the estimate was high and had not bothered to pass it on to the administrator, giving the administrator the impression that EWEB had not been responsive. She said the facility was considering whether it wished to proceed with fiber installation.

President Bishop asked how the op-ed piece for the newspaper was progressing. Mr. Berggren replied that it had been delayed. President Bishop expressed concern because she perceived it to be a situation wherein the Board said it wanted to do something “and it just seems to get lost.” Mr. Berggren reiterated that he had checked in with the Board and had discussed it with them at the previous meeting.

President Bishop asked why there was no group e-mail for the Board. Vice President Menegat noted that he only wished to respond to email from his ward. He did not necessarily want to know, as an example, what was happening in Commissioner Simpson’s ward and the communications members of the ward were having with him. He said when there were board concerns it was simple enough to address something to everyone. Assistant Secretary Krista Hince clarified that there was one-button group e-mail for all Commissioners in the same place as the individual addresses on the Internet.

President Bishop stated that the Lane County Energy Forum would be held on January 23 and February 27 from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. both days. She said she and Jim Maloney would be speaking at the forums.

Continuing, President Bishop recalled that at the joint meeting of the EWEB Board and the City Council, a councilor suggested that a committee be formed, comprised of two members from each body and possibly some members of the public, for the purpose of looking at the redevelopment of the EWEB property.

CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Berggren reported that Dr. Rad was in town conducting the inspection of the concrete pole. He did not have the report yet but he hoped to be able to provide it to the Board soon.

Additionally, Mr. Berggren related that EWEB’s experience with the wind storm had not been truly bad. He said the City of Eugene was fortunate as the cell of the storm struck north of here. He reported that 4500 customers had experienced electrical outages and, as said previously, the outages had been restored in a timely fashion. He complimented the crews on their response.

BOARD AGENDAS

Mr. Berggren reviewed the *Board Agenda Report* dated *December 19, 2006*. He noted that there would be some staff photographers to take pictures of the swearing in of Commissioner Farmer, who had been reelected for a second term, and Commissioner-elect John Brown.

Commissioner Simpson asked if the State of the Utility address would be made at the first meeting of the New Year. Mr. Berggren replied that typically the Board President gave the address and who would serve in that capacity was determined at that first meeting.

In response to another question from Commissioner Simpson, Mr. Berggren stated that the College Hill Reservoir discussion was scheduled for the work session to be held on January 16.

WATER SUPPLY UPDATE

Water Resource & Systems Planner Brad Taylor provided the update with the aid of power points. He began by thanking Commissioner Lanning and Vice President Menegat for their comments on his presentation to LCOG. He reminded the Board of the 2006 initiative:

“Secure existing EWEB water rights, while developing a diverse drinking water supply that may include regional partnerships when practical.”

Mr. Taylor explained that it was EWEB’s strategy to keep the McKenzie River permit extension on two tracks, the first of which would keep the permit in the queue and let the existing rules play out on EWEB’s permit and, when addressing the conditions and permit extension, EWEB intended to apply for 20 years of “green light” water or it could apply for new permit on the McKenzie River. The other parallel track that EWEB should pursue was the legislative support of the 2007 session. He predicted there might be a push to establish new rules, a “pay to play” option that was unlikely at this point or to get into the details of defining the “persistence” term in the current statute. He did not foresee the opportunity to redefine “persistence” in a way that would be more beneficial than it was at the present time.

In response to a question from President Bishop, Mr. Taylor explained that the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) was tying “persistence” to a recovery standard, in this case, pertaining to the recovery of the spring Chinook and potentially the recovery of the Pacific lamprey. He reiterated that the persistence related to those species relative to the flows.

Commissioner Farmer asked if the lobbyist had been apprised of this. Mr. Taylor replied that he and other members of the water staff were working with the lobbyist to unify EWEB’s message. Commissioner Farmer emphasized the importance of relating the Board’s position on issues pertinent to the functions of EWEB to the lobbyist.

Mr. Taylor reiterated that water rights that were on extension, that had not been certified or put to beneficial use, were not owned. He averred that it was in EWEB’s best interest to focus on planning and justifying the most water it could.

Mr. Taylor highlighted the ground water project. He said staff repackaged the permit, moving three of the proposed well locations to different locations to meet legal requirements. He related that they also made some technical arguments to show that the historical waterway routes used to evaluate the permit application initially were not accurate, which resulted in a different technical argument. He stated that they backed the arguments relative to the impact of pumping on the storm water features by collecting data of elevation stages surface water body relative to stages observed in the wells and had shown them to be hydraulically disconnected relative to the surface water upstream of Ayres Pond. He said the permit was in the queue for a “re-review” and the goal was to come out of the process with a permit to develop the water source within the 20-year window. He noted that from a financial standpoint, the additional outlays of funding were relatively small.

Mr. Taylor reviewed the three types of demand placed on the water resources: primary demand, peaking demand, and emergency demand. He reported that the forecast for the peaking need suggested that an expansion would be needed between 2008 and 2010. He projected that 10 mega-gallons per day (MGD) would meet the peak demand through 2018 and that a relatively small project would accomplish this.

Regarding emergency demand, Mr. Taylor reiterated that two MGD was available through the inter-ties under the intergovernmental agreement (IGA) signed in July. He said EWEB needed to have access to 22 MGD in emergency demand and by 2050 the need was projected to reach 26 MGD.

Mr. Taylor said the Hayden Bridge expansion was the only option EWEB could bring on in a five-year period of time, as the other options were at least five years out. He added that it was the lowest cost option, as the cost to bring on new capacity was much greater. He stated the other things driving the expansion were filter-to-waste backwash issues, solids handling issues, and a lack of volume within the contact basins to handle storm events as the winter demands grew. He explained that the plant capacity rating went down during winter months because of turbidity factors. He reiterated that the expansion would bring the plant to 80 MGD.

Additionally, Mr. Taylor stated that the expansion would trigger EWEB’s need to submit a Division 86 plan, a plan that arose from a permit extension. He explained that by expanding the plant EWEB was moving into a permit that was on extension and in order to “move into that water” an approved water management/conservation plan was required, one component of which was a water supply plan. He averred that what EWEB was currently doing fit into that plan.

Mr. Taylor reviewed the previous Board discussion of the Hayden Bridge expansion and other water issues.

Mr. Taylor acknowledged Environmental Intern Nancy Toth, and expressed appreciation for her help in developing the geographic information systems (GIS) aided figures he displayed for the Board. He showed aerial pictures of the water features of the region, with delineations of which ones could not be used at some times of the year for municipal water purposes. He pointed out the locations of the other utilities' water resources, the points at which EWEB hoped to have inter-ties, and the places with potential for development opportunities. He commented that it "painted a sobering picture."

Mr. Taylor cited the following three reasons for breaking the potential second McKenzie River water source to look at hydraulically connected ground waters:

- It would aid the utility in the case of an event in the river such as a spill.
- It would help with an existing and future regulatory issue having to do with filtration for *cripto sporidium*.
- It would alleviate some treatment costs associated with treating water during times of high turbidity.

Staff had also reviewed other possible surface water diversion locations on the McKenzie River. Only one location had been reviewed for potential development on the Willamette River. He said they had focused on the area at the confluence of the McKenzie River up to just above the Hayden Bridge facility. He reviewed the process for analysis of the potential water source development sites. From this review, he said, staff had determined that there were hydro-geologic conditions that appear favorable and could potentially generate 10 million gallons per site. He noted that one benefit of this was that it would utilize existing water rights by adding points of diversion under the permit that was on extension and/or a permit that was certified. At this point, though, this was just one possibility and it was not important to "dive into the details." He listed the "cons" and unknowns of the scenario, which included that it was not, a true separate source, existing zoning and land use issues, the necessity to develop multiple sites to attain the quantity of water needed, and the as yet unknown water quality.

Mr. Taylor highlighted the historic water claim that EWEB had at its location along the Willamette River. He thought the probability of developing the water right was low.

Mr. Taylor stated that costs, from a planning level perspective, for all of the individual sites came in at between \$70 million and \$80 million to develop 20 MGD. He said this included the planning, engineering, permitting, construction, standard EWEB overhead, and a 25 percent planning contingency. He added that the assumption was that the planning costs would ultimately be 100 percent rate-funded and that it would result in a 7 to 8 percent increase for five consecutive years to support the debt service payment.

Commissioner Farmer said he was thinking in the long term in regard to building more capacity as surrounding communities for water in the future may look to EWEB.

Mr. Taylor reviewed the concepts of regionalization, defined as “one of the options through which one water system will work with one or more water systems to address a problem or a common need.” He said it referred to any form of cooperation across water systems to improve service inefficiencies. He suggested that working together could enhance the cost-effectiveness of public infrastructure investments by providing the system linkages and it would prepare the communities to respond to emergencies with greater efficiency. He recommended evaluating metro water supply planning options that may exist including jointly funded facilities using Willamette River sources or the confluence well field project. Additionally, this would create a forum to engage the satellite communities regarding opportunities for collaboration.

In response to a question from Commissioner Simpson, Mr. Taylor explained that federal storage meant the reservoir system. He said municipal use was not allowed under the storage certificate. He noted that the water was not all contracted for and he felt opportunities existed for municipalities to access it.

Commissioner Farmer remarked that it seemed the EWEB Board would have more face-to-face contact with the City Council. He asked for feedback in the future as to how the Board might want to be positioning the issues to the council. Mr. Taylor said he hoped Mr. Berggren would engage him on these issues at the appropriate time. He underscored that continued dialogue between staff and the Board helped to ensure the two were on the same page.

Commissioner Farmer stressed his desire to be strategic about how the process was moved forward. Mr. Taylor agreed, adding that the water issues had created much dialogue between jurisdictions.

PAUWEIS AMERICAS, INC.

Systems Engineering Supervisor Rod Price explained that once the contracts with Pauweis Americas, Inc. and Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. were approved it would initiate a chain reaction of forward movement for EWEB. He said “the big picture” was that staff intended to upgrade a portion of EWEB’s system to make it more reliable and able to handle load growth. He recalled that staff had presented a report at a past Board meeting regarding the aging infrastructure. He stated that a lot of the equipment in the substations was very old. He said when staff had first looked at rebuilding the Willamette substation it had looked like a very expensive project. He related that basically some of the staff people had devised a plan to reduce the size of the new substation and to upgrade the 69 KV system associated with it, creating a savings of approximately \$5 million from the initial estimates. He reported that the Adams substation would be upgraded; construction on it had already begun. The Willamette substation would be completely bulldozed and rebuilt, a project slated to begin in April 2007, and to end in May 2008. He noted that two transformers and the gas insulated switchgear equipment would be installed in the Willamette substation and one transformer

would be installed while rebuilding the Bethel substation, a project slated to begin in 2008 and to be completed in 2009. He said the next step would be the substation at Seneca would be upgraded from a 69KV to a 115KV in 2010 and the Westmoreland substation would be upgraded in 2011. He reiterated that they were asking for the purchase of four transformers, three of which would be at the confirmed price of approximately \$630,000 each. He noted that had EWEB bought the transformers two years earlier they would have cost half as much as they currently do.

HYUNDAI HEAVY INDUSTRIES CO. LTD.

Mr. Price explained that the gas-insulated switchgear would be used to reduce the size of the Willamette substation. He said it was a new development for EWEB, but had been successfully around the world for two to three decades. He stated that EWEB had budgeted the project at \$1.7 million but it was slated to cost \$1 million. He noted that the schedule for the projects was aggressive and upon approval, staff would start the work “tomorrow.”

Commissioner Farmer asked if management was satisfied with the due diligence staff had undertaken. Mr. Origliosso affirmed that they were.

Commissioner Simpson asked if any of the substations would be affected during the Willamette substation down time. Mr. Price replied that when the Willamette substation was down the Adams substation would be fed from the Bethel side. He said when the Willamette substation was completed as they worked on the Bethel substation Adams would be fed from the Willamette substation. He explained that they would continue to “leapfrog” in that fashion.

In response to a follow-up question from Commissioner Simpson, Mr. Price affirmed that the Adams substation would be upgraded to 115 KV.

Vice President Menegat, seconded by Commissioner Farmer, moved to approve the power transformer procurement contract with Pauweis Americas, Inc. The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Lanning, moved to approve the gas insulated switchgear procurement contract to Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd. The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

TYREE OIL, INC.

Commissioner Farmer recused himself based on a perceived conflict of interest. He stressed that he did not have a legal contract with Tyee Oil, Inc, but knew the owner.

Information Services Manager Terry Bequette explained that he was presenting a five-year contract proposal to purchase regular gas and diesel fuel. He stated that the contract was procured in a standard request for bid process and Tyee Oil, Inc. had won the bid. He requested Board approval.

In response to a question from Commissioner Simpson, Mr. Bequette clarified that the contract was based on estimated use, which in turn had been based on past practices.

Commissioner Simpson asked where the fuel was brought. Mr. Bequette replied that Tyee Oil, Inc. would bring the fuel to the EWEB site, the Carmen Smith facility, and the Leaburg facility.

Vice President Menegat, seconded by Commissioner Simpson, moved to approve the price agreement for the purchase of fuel from Tyee Oil, Inc. The motion passed, 4:0:1; Commissioner Farmer abstaining.

NEXUS ENERGY SOFTWARE, INC.

Energy Management Services Engineering Supervisor Reid Hart, reported that EWEB had gone out for a standard request for a proposal (RFP) for this contract. He explained that the total potential contract was for \$1.4 million over five years and only \$700,000 to \$800,000 was a committed part of the contract; the rest represented options EWEB could exercise at a later point should they make sense.

Mr. Origliosso stated that the request was for analytic software. He said currently customers received the bill by mail or they could access it by email, but there was no way for a customer to do research or analytics on it. He related that the updated software would provide a portal to allow customers to make an enquiry to which they would receive results in a readily understandable format they could manipulate and analyze. Staff felt this would lessen the load on customer service representatives while providing more elaborate information to the customer. He added that the software would provide outreach with energy management selections.

Commissioner Simpson asked when the system would be up and running. Mr. Hart replied that it would be installed in three phases, the first of which would be completed at the end of the first quarter of 2007 and would give both commercial and residential customers the ability to do the energy audit. He said the second phase provided billing analysis and involved a lot more information. He predicted it would be installed late in the year or in early 2008.

Commissioner Simpson asked if the Spanish version would be rolled out with the first phase. Mr. Hart said the Spanish version was an option but EWEB had not selected it at present.

Commissioner Simpson asked if the tabular data could be downloaded. Mr. Hart affirmed that it could.

Commissioner Simpson asked how many months a customer could look back. Mr. Hart replied that he believed they could review 36 months.

Vice President Menegat asked what percentage of customers staff anticipated would seek this information. Mr. Hart related that currently 10 to 20 percent of customers currently accessed the EWEB Web site. He said it had proven to increase interest in electronic bill-paying services, which had a cost benefit to EWEB. Treasurer Jim Origliosso added that 15,000 people had signed up for the "bill present" part of it and 8,000 bills were actually paid utilizing that application. He noted that they had just completed a survey of Internet users and customers who had contact with EWEB in the

last three months and 25 percent responded that they would definitely use the product and 30 percent said they would probably use the product.

Commissioner Simpson asked if the software would also be utilized for the water utility. Mr. Hart affirmed that it would.

Commissioner Simpson commented that he looked forward to the expansion and the focus of using the Web site more.

Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Vice President Menegat, moved to approve a contract with Nexus Energy Software, Incorporated for Web-based customer self-service billing history and energy audit software. The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

2007 GM GOALS AND STRATEGIC PLAN

Mr. Berggren said it would be feasible to bring this item back for action in January 2007.

Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Vice President Menegat, moved to table the item.

Commissioner Simpson confirmed that the item would be brought to the Board in January. The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioner Farmer explained that he had pulled Item 5, the Resolution that approved of the water systems development charge (SDC) revision, effective January 1, 2007, because he had concern regarding how the increase had been calculated. He said it seemed EWEB was utilizing Seattle information. He had no sense of whether those numbers were higher or lower than costs were in Eugene. He suggested that, if EWEB could not get figures that were more relevant to Eugene residents, something could be constructed. He commented that SDCs were a sensitive issue for some people. He indicated that he would not oppose the resolution, but he did want to “circle back around” on the issue.

Water Engineering Manager Mel Damewood explained that the SDCs had utilized the system that the engineering community had been using for a long time. He stated that there was national data and then the Engineering News Record (ENR) broke it into regions, and when it referred to Seattle it was considering it as it would the Pacific Northwest region.

Commissioner Farmer asked that staff look into how specific the data was to the City of Seattle. Mr. Damewood agreed to review it.

Commissioner Simpson, seconded by Commissioner Lanning, moved to approve Resolution No. 0636. The motion passed unanimously, 5:0.

President Bishop offered the following corrections to the minutes from the Special Joint Meeting of EWEB and the Eugene City Council:

- Page 1, paragraph 4: “She introduced the other EWEB Commissioners *and EWEB Commissioner-elect John Brown* noting the absence of Commissioner Patrick Lanning.
- Page 4, paragraph 8: “Ms. Bettman said that if EWEB wanted to know if the City was interested in buying the property, ~~it should put a price tag on it~~ *bring us an offer* and communicate that to the City.”
- Page 5, paragraph 3: “He believed that in spite of the fact the two entities were political bodies, EWEB and the City could rise to the challenges of a tight time line. *He said if getting together was a priority it would get scheduled.*”
- Page 5, adjournment: “The *joint meeting of EWEB and the Eugene City Council* adjourned...”

President Bishop, seconded by Commissioner Simpson, moved to approve the minutes from the joint meeting of the Eugene City Council and the EWEB Board held on November 15, 2006, as amended. The motion passed, 4:0:1; Commissioner Lanning abstaining, as he was not present for the meeting.

President Bishop related that union employees regarding the possibility of conducting a “debrief” of the strike action had approached her. She said the employees wished to avoid in its next round of contract talks the situation that had brought about the strike.

President Bishop adjourned the meeting at 9:51 pm.

Assistant Secretary

President