

### **MEMORANDUM**

EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD

Relyonus.

TO:	Commissioners Helgeson, Brown, Mital, Simpson and Carlson
FROM:	Lisa Krentz, Support Services Operations Manager
DATE:	November 22, 2019
SUBJECT:	2018 EWEB Operational Greenhouse Gas Inventory
OBJECTIVE:	Information Only

#### Issue

EWEB has been tracking operational (internal) greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions since 2009. Included with this correspondence is the 2018 report.

#### Background

Sources of operational GHG emissions include natural gas, fleet fuel, electricity, and fugitive releases of refrigerants and insulating gas (SF<sub>6</sub>).

#### Discussion

The purpose of the 2018 GHG report is to track progress towards EWEB's emissions reduction goals. In order to calculate the emissions associated with our electricity use, we use both the location based (regional grid) and market based (EWEB specific) emissions factors. The market based emissions factor is calculated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) based on EWEB's electric portfolio. Although we prepare the report early in the year for the previous year, we generally do not receive the ODEQ's number until November. As of the writing of this report, we had not received the 2018 emissions factor. Therefore, this report uses the 2017 emissions factor and will be updated with new information when it is provided. This report will also be posted on the EWEB website so that it may be easily viewed by the public.

#### **Requested Board Action**

None. This memorandum is provided for informational purposes only.

## EUGENE WATER & ELECTRIC BOARD 2018 OPERATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORY



#### **Executive Summary**

Between 2009 and 2018, the Eugene Water & Electric Board's (EWEB) cumulative operations based emissions (Scope 1 and 2) have decreased by 4,158 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MT CO<sub>2</sub>e), or 36%, using location-based accounting for electricity. This decrease is primarily due to a 39% reduction in fossil fuel fleet emissions and a 31% reduction in electricity based emissions, which is largely the result of a reduction in the location-based emissions factor for electricity consumption (Figure 1). Figure 2 considers the same emissions sources, but uses the significantly lower market-based emissions factor (first made available in 2010). At the current rate, EWEB has reduced emissions by 36%, ahead of the 25% goal, and is projected to reduce emissions by 40% by 2020. Figure 12 shows the trend, using the market-based emissions. At the current rate, EWEB has reduced emission by 45% and would achieve a 68% reduction by 2020.







Figure 2. Scope 1 and 2 emissions using market-based emissions factor (first made available in 2010), 2010-2018.

#### Overview

In order to better understand our contribution to global climate change and to measure our progress in reducing our climate impacts, EWEB annually prepares a greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory. The focus is on the GHG emissions associated with core business operations, such as fleet fuel consumption, electricity, and natural gas use. In 2011, EWEB GHG emission reduction goals were developed to set a target for reductions within our own operations. The goals are:

- By 2020 achieve greenhouse gas levels 25% below 2009 levels in all EWEB operations
- By 2030, reduce fossil fuel use by 50% (compared to 2009 levels)
- By 2050, EWEB operations will be carbon-neutral (i.e. reduce net carbon to zero)

In quantifying our operational emissions, EWEB follows the guidelines of The Climate Registry's General Reporting Protocol. Per The Climate Registry's protocol, emissions sources are divided into three reporting scopes (see Figure 3 below).

Scope 1 – This includes direct GHG emissions that originate from operations-based equipment and facilities owned or operated by EWEB, such as the stationary and mobile combustion of fossil fuels, including vehicles and generators. This also includes the fugitive release of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) from the operation of high voltage equipment used in electricity transmission and distribution.

Scope 2 – This includes indirect GHG emissions associated with the purchase of electricity and steam for internal consumption.

Scope 3 – This includes all other indirect GHG emissions resulting from EWEB's operational activities that occur from sources owned or controlled by another entity, such as business travel, employee commute, embodied emissions in purchased goods and services, and emissions from land-filled solid waste.



Source: WRI/WBCSD Greenhouse Gas Protocol, Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition), Chapter 4.

#### Figure 3. Greenhouse gas accounting reporting scopes.

This inventory estimates emissions associated with EWEB's facility operations. The quantification of our facility operations emissions is limited to EWEB facilities in the Eugene-Springfield metropolitan area as well as the McKenzie River hydroelectric facilities (Leaburg-Walterville and Carmen-Smith).

In 2009-2014, the largest single source of emissions associated with EWEB's operations continued to be from our supply chain – those GHG emissions embodied in purchased goods and services. However, given the limitations of the methodology used to calculate these emissions, they should be considered estimates<sup>1</sup>. Due to changes in accounting practices that occurred in late 2014, it is no longer possible to estimate supply chain emissions. Therefore it wasn't calculated in 2015, nor will it be included in future reports. This report focuses on Scope 1 and 2 emissions, specifically those attributed to natural gas combustion by buildings, gasoline and diesel combustion by EWEB owned vehicles and equipment, fugitive releases of refrigerants and insulating gas (SF<sub>6</sub>), and electricity use in buildings.

EWEB's ability to manage our GHG emissions varies considerably across emission scopes. We have specific control over some sources, such as our vehicle fleet, and can and do take direct steps to minimize emissions associated with the utilization of these vehicles. Influencing emissions in our supply chain is more challenging, as we do not control the energy and carbon intensity of our suppliers manufacturing processes. However, we can seek to mitigate our supply chain emissions by making changes in our purchasing decisions by specifying lower carbon intensive products (e.g., choosing goods with high recycled content).

# Calculating the Carbon Footprint of Electricity Use: Location vs. Market-Based Electricity Accounting

The most widely used standard to account for Scope 2 (Electricity) emissions is the Greenhouse Gas Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance, which directs organizations to use two methods -location-based and market-based.

The location-based method (or regional grid) reflects the average emissions intensity of the Northwest Power Pool (NWPP). The market-based (or utility specific) method reflects emissions from the specific utility.

EWEB's market-based emissions factor is developed through the annual reporting process to the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), in which consumer-owned utilities, like EWEB, are required to report the megawatt hours of electricity distributed to end users of electricity in Oregon (i.e., our retail customers) and the source of that electricity. EWEB's market-based emissions factor is about 17 times less carbon intensive than the regional average and about 25 times less than the national average (Table 1 & Figure 4).

In 2018, 85% of the power distributed to EWEB's retail customers was from BPA (a combination of hydroelectric, nuclear, wind, and unspecified market purchases), 10% was from EWEB's owned hydroelectric resources, and the remaining 5% came from a combination of owned and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The methodology for estimating supply chain carbon is Economic Input-Output Life-Cycle Analysis (EIOLCA). EIOLCA, while reputable and credible as an estimation tool, lacks precision because the analysis is not built on vendor-specific data. Therefore, the estimate, while useful for "sense of scale", is not precise.

purchased resources. The carbon intensity can fluctuate significantly from year to year based on the amount of hydroelectric power generated by BPA and EWEB's own resources.

Accounting Method	2018 EWEB Operational Consumption (MWh)	Emissions Factor (MT CO₂e/MWh)	Emissions (MT CO2e)	
Location-Based (NWPP) <sup>1</sup>		0.297	5,742	
Market-Based (EWEB) <sup>2</sup>	19,333	0.018	348	
National Average <sup>1</sup>		0.455	8,797	

Table 1. Com	parison of locatio	n-based and	market-based	emission factors.
--------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------	-------------------

1. Northwest Power Pool (NWPP) and National Average emissions factors are from eGRID 2016. 2016 is the most recent factor available.

2. At the time of the completion of this report the EWEB emissions factor for 2018 was not available from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, therefore the 2017 emissions factor was used. This report will be updated as soon as the 2018 emissions factor is made available.



Figure 4. Comparison of market-based, location-based, and the national average emissions factors for Scope 2 (electricity) GHG emissions. Scope 1 emissions are presented for comparison purposes, 2018.

#### **Electricity Consumption**

In 2018, EWEB buildings consumed 19,333 MWh of electricity and emitted 5,742 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e (using location-based accounting) and 348 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e (using market-based accounting). The operation of the Hayden Bridge Treatment Plant and pump stations account for the majority (64%) of EWEB's electricity consumption, at 12,416 MWh. The Headquarters building is the second-largest source of electricity consumption (2,844 MWh), followed by the Roosevelt Operations Center (2,558 MWh). Electricity use at EWEB's McKenzie River hydroelectric projects, substations, and other Eugene facilities accounted for (1,516 MWh) (Figure 5). There was a 9% reduction in electricity consumption in 2018 compared to 2009 (Figure 6).



Figure 5. Electricity consumption by EWEB facilities, 2018.



Figure 6. Electricity consumption by EWEB facilities, 2009-2018.

#### **Natural Gas Consumption**

EWEB facilities consumed 110,173 therms of natural gas in 2018 and emitted 557 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e. Natural gas consumption at the Headquarters building and the Roosevelt Operations Center were 65,091 and 45,082 therms, respectively. Natural gas consumption at the Headquarters building began in 2012, following the decommissioning of the steam plant, which had supplied steam heating for 50 years up to that point. Although there was an 8% reduction in natural gas consumption between 2013 and 2016, there has been a 29% increase in since then, primarily due to an increase of use at the Headquarters building (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Natural gas consumption by the Roosevelt Operations Center and Headquarters Building combined, 2010-2018.

#### **Fleet Fuel Consumption**

In 2018, EWEB's fleet consumed 167,208 gallons of fuel, 63% of which was fossil fuels (gasoline and diesel) and 37% was biofuels (ethanol and renewable diesel) (Figure 9). Fossil fuel consumption accounted for 1,012 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e of emissions. There has been an almost six-fold increase in biofuel use since 2010, which has resulted in a 39% decrease in emissions during this time period (Figure 10). Although EWEB's fleet is primarily fueled by gasoline blended with ethanol (E15 and E85) and diesel blended with biodiesel (B5, B15, B30), an increased amount (80,295 gal.) of renewable diesel (R99) was purchased in 2016, which resulted in a 56% reduction in emissions and the lowest levels during the reporting period (2009-2018), at 702 MT CO<sub>2</sub>e. As the demand for low carbon intensity (CI) fuels increased, lower blend levels of renewable diesel were purchased in 2017 and 2018 (68,039 and 60,997 respectively) due to higher cost. As Oregon's Clean Fuels Program continues to mature, we are starting to see where the availability of additional lower CI alternative fuels are starting to offset the additional cost. With this, EWEB was able to increase its blend ratios of alternative fuels by the end of 2018.



Figure 9. Fuel consumption by EWEB's fleet, 2009-2018.



Figure 10. EWEB fleet emissions (fossil fuels), 2009-2018.

#### Conclusion

Using the location-based (regional) emission factor, Scope 1 (fleet, natural gas, etc.) and 2 (electricity) emissions were 7.342 MT CO2e in 2018, however when using the market-based (EWEB) factor they were 73% less, at 1,948 MT CO2e. Figure 11 shows the trend, using the location-based emissions factor, in Scope 1 and 2 emissions (the dashed red line) compared to historic GHG emission levels (the shaded blue area) and the GHG emission levels EWEB needs to meet to achieve emissions reduction goals (the shaded green area). At the current rate, EWEB has already reduced emissions by 36%, ahead of the 25% goal, and is projected to reduce emissions by 40% by 2020. Figure 12 shows the trend, using the market-based emissions factor (2010 is the earliest year with this factor) for Scope 1 and 2 emissions. At the current rate, EWEB has already reduced emission by 45% and would achieve a 68% reduction by 2020. The discrepancy in emissions underscores the importance of considering both factors when conducting a GHG inventory and the context provided by the location-based factor suggests that the combination of a low carbon power portfolio and marginal changes in internal electricity consumption can translate into meaningful reductions in emissions. EWEB's fleet continues to be the component in EWEB's operations that offers the most tangible and effective emissions reduction potential. The significant reduction in fleet emissions (56%) in 2016 highlights the importance that biofuels and fuel conservation play in EWEB's emissions reduction strategy and the increase in the blend ratio of alternative fuels at the end of 2018, suggests this trend will continue.

For more information, contact Lisa Krentz, EWEB's Support Services Operations Manager, at Lisa.krentz@eweb.org, or Andrew Janos, Environmental Specialist, at Andrew.janos@eweb.org.



Figure 11. Projected Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions using the location-based (regional) emissions factor.



Figure 12. Projected Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions using the market-based (EWEB) emissions factor.